JCC: S. Trahern; B. Lamb; S. Swawn 3908-9\$ Nurmal 15, 1990 Sir: Dell' MEC'D I ask you to forgue this most informal letter. Notwith tunding that beginning there are several points of with to address. The main point of with to make comment is that of those persons who anchor that, call in programs. On the high end or most creditable is Susan Swain, on the low end or least fair is Brian Samb. All others lie some where to the right of center. Up Swain not only exhibits in Lefth preparation for the individual quest; but addresses issues while go to the core of the subject. With regard to the caller, she often repeats the questions, cloborates on the comment and follows it limited an answer is forth arming from the guset. Thave Sound that most often Be Levain will not let & allow some general remark to serve as a reply- The presses for a most definative stateall fat I have said as being a pos for Mr. Swain, are viewed as being negatives for Mr. hamb, add to this his fregro finger on the button to cut off a caller and his hibet of telling the greet what they have just said and you have a person who does not belong in that It is my impression that South Ofrica and Israel are trabor subjects to be skirted by

the host of the call in program. as a case in point consider the question posed to Mr. Lieber (Oct 80th at 8:40 Am) on the bias often exhibited When at 9:05 an another repered to The previous calless question- Mr hand out him off the sie. Other tweets have given like treatment to Justoms or comments ancerning down befreca. I have long regarded c-Span as a source of factual information. Entinued watering Soun" of programs in the manner described above will in fine solute the respect you have here-to-fore been held, Thank you for your attention.

Fall Piner, Ma 03731





E-Span - Suite 650 400 N. Eapitol St. Washington, D.C. 20001