

Nov. 5, 1991

005028 NOV 12 91

[REDACTED]
Blairsville, PA
15717-8810

C-Span Customer Service
400 N. Capitol St. (N.W.) Suite 650
Washington, D. C. 20001

Gentlemen:

The liberal, feminist, pro-abortion professionals are still trying to convict Clarence Thomas. Why is C-Span giving them air time? It is not very professional for this to go on and on.

The sore losers can't seem to understand that the American people saw through what they were trying to do. Ms. Cool (even though she was a bit wishy-washy) made it clear the pro-abortion liberals were out "to get" Thomas, no matter what it took.

Clift of Newsweek, obviously militant feminist, made unsubstantiated declarations that 80% of Americans are pro-choice. This is what liberals get away with, making claims and charges and do not have to prove them. She attacks Bush for "packing" the Court but forgets that it was fine with liberals for

past presidents to "pack" the Court with pro-abortion liberals. Double standard!

What about the confirmation of a liberal Supreme Court Justice^{*} in the past when Ted Kennedy allegedly said "we" shouldn't question him^{*} on his philosophy/beliefs to avoid a problem that might prevent confirmation?

Isn't it interesting that liberals are now concerned that a Supreme Court Justice might have been affected by pornography? It was the Supreme Court itself, before Thomas, that approved the porno world's right to exist and function as "free enterprise". Why should liberals, who also approve of this "right", be surprised that it enters the work force, too, and affects conduct against women (including feminists) and men^{**} (e.g. ^{**}the Donahue Show). The liberals can't have their cake and eat it, too.

When will the circus end and supposedly "unbiased" reporters/journalists stop this "lynching" of Clarence Thomas? When will the media stop feeding the media so it can espouse its personal agenda?

Yours truly,

(Mrs.) [REDACTED]