

Sept. 27th, 1991

004324 OCT 291


Whiting, R.J.  
OP759

Dear Mr. Lamb,

In the heat of the Thomas hearings I wrote to C-Span protesting the exclusive appearance of Congressman Edwards, (a professed abortionist and advocate of the absolute divorce of government and a religious moral sense) to the exclusion of anyone professing the right to life of a being conceived in a human womb. Unfortunately & to my surprise I received no reply whatsoever. I had thought before writing that C-Span was truly, honestly neutral on such basic matters. Alas I am proven wrong!

Today after the Judiciary Committee vote who do you think is chosen for comment? Mrs. Michaelman, the happy abortionist of the National Abortion Rights League who would probably object to a Lecher's label on baby food — AND this was followed by Neil Lewis of the New York Times, the all time supporter of the Procreation of the Product of Conception at the whim of the woman or child engaged in that meaningful physical act which puts the wee human in the womb. Please, I love the visibility you afford us of facts, BUT I profoundly accuse you of dreadfully one sided leaning for the abortion cult that has

come out from under the rocks of Mt. Sinai. Could  
you not, at least today, have had a protagonist  
for the Thomas Jefferson rights of a wee person  
to life. For shame! Too bad for all of U.S.



P.S.

Answer me or not I shall watch  
you carefully as I will always defend the  
least of us without calling them non-persons!  
How about a panel on the meaning of "person" &  
its inclusion in the 14th Amendment. Did the  
Court forbid then the right to life of little  
negroes conceived & alone though yet unborn?  
You are in a mine-field & should step more  
judiciously on this one.



Mr. Brian Lamb, C. Span,  
400 Capitol Street N.W.,  
Suite 650,

Washington D.C

20001



[REDACTED]

WHITING N.J.  
08759

14

FB