

E-SPAN Alter: Mr. Brian Lamb

Dear Mr. Lamb: 0-WJ I have followed, as closely as I could, the discussions of the Mc Namara book. And I have heard much of concepts of apology and remore, etc. but there are at best a secondary aspect as D what I see involved. In context I ree Mr. Mc Namara delieving in a "rationalists" mode as is essentially his normal practice. your comment re lies raying "I have never walched c-SPAN" & the doern't watche T.V. are quite chavacteristic.

Toget & my own perspective, I wish to focus on the most interreve comment I lead in your 2 hour Booknotes. Specifically his reference to the Affender: The Nuclear Risks of the 1960, and Their Lersons for the Twenty - Tirst Century " This Chapter - focuring on the relationship of Juluxe Policy decisions and the risk of the use of muclear weapon is his major focus and verted obression. This has been true for the last several years rince he left bout. If I haven't over-reacted to what I heard, he was strening this & you and one point in your program. yet, based in pert on steudard TV interviews, he hadad finted and effected, to

be forced to expound on - part hertory as an apologice. If you don't know Mc Namara, you might sather from Mr. Herauer's obreveater re: interaction at the World Bank, Hr Mc Namava doesdit suffer fool lightly. He expressed his gratitude & you for allowing him & make his Appendix thought, a part of the discussion. The real intended audience for this book is found in current and future poligy maker, This principal was used by R. Willow in his own publications usually sent & the Top 100 policy types prior to public availability. There is a futile (?) hope that comments from the prior administrations many help peep rimilar mistakes occurring later. This Jour is fossibly more clear & me because I have been actively arociated with some Control efforts for nearly 3 decades and fully appreciate that this may well have been the first part of the book written. In that deapter (P 338) he make 3 points quite clear. Alsoner the footnot pay 341 we the Welson Center suits April 5, 1994 and the sentener (Ind peraphi name prese) that caused

For most of the 3 decade period & stoered the abrurdety of Deterrence, calling MAD (Mutually Arrived Dertruction) really MAS (naturally Assured Suicede) - Do make an obvious point. No merrile, were ever seined at "stinile Silos rince they would be empty long before any warkeads would arrive. This les been and still is, true ine miniles are aimed at allis and no one fregardless of what is raid currently) has any impection of target coordinates on eithe rect. The corrent Adming (Sec. Very's arrestins not with tauding) is precedury a hopeful prospect not a measureable fact in raying aimen has been altered. Mc Namara, P345, asserts is recommendation of No First ibre with Kennedy + Jolemon. And finally the 3 pplins on 8346 are exerciced to the father, I expect, from my non studies of police maker, that often 1 (of there 3) is most likely to be maintained. McNamara an anyone including my nell, hope for option 3. But ofter 1 effest and as time parres will grow again as history as is normal, repeats itself. Furthermore, the last rentance in the book a Sakliarow quote, followed by "He was right

is the tree threat of this book. To conclude, it is the nature of most people to there int of themselves, and of a limited "nearby". In this case the second is your caller / reviewer responses to the publication. But no Nomara 's hope is to provok the yew, who can get part their own requiportous (eg, hy openion, "My seaction", etc) to coursely the reality that polecy makers of the sint Cast. meent learn not to rely on persona frerendtion This book does ellertrate that the war in Vert Nam was constrained by fear of Neeclear Response That consided every thing, Re-the money - it well go to the R. Stablemen foundation whele is formed, appropriately, on National Policy objectivos many preventer of Nuclear War.

Appreciate your Reading this