
Cocoa Beach, FL 32931
February 27, 1998


Mr. Tom Brokaw, ABC-TV
Mr. Peter Jennings, ABC-TV
Mr. Dan Rather, CBS-TV

GENTLEMEN OF THE TV MEDIA —

RE THE ATTACHED COPY OF LIBERAL-LEFTIST Mary McGRODY'S ARTICLE
ON Bill Clinton — IT APPEARS EVEN Mr. McGRODY'S
BEGINNING TO SEE THE LIGHT!

HOPFULLY, BUT DUBIOUSLY, YOU, TOO, WILL BECOME ENLIGHTENED.

Obstruction of Justice by Pres. Clinton & Mrs. Clinton is
intoreference. Your conspiracy in permitting this is also
fityful AND SAD.

Sincerely yours,


cc: The White House
Orrin G. Hatch ✓

B. C. Allen
R

Clinton has to open up, the same as Saddam

WASHINGTON — Everybody who is anybody in the national security world was on hand for the president's tentative call-to-arms. Everybody, that is, except Hollywood, which unleashed with eerie timeliness "Wag the Dog," a cynical farce that pictures another president caught between Venus and Mars. The Hollywood commander-in-chief, discovered making an Oval Office pass at a visiting teen-ager, gets help from a terminally crass producer, who fakes a war to get him through Election Day.

Hollywood pretty much has the run of the Clinton White House, even, we have learned lately, the National Security Council. National security adviser Sandy Berger parlayed with actor John Travolta on the matter of the Germans being less beastly to the Church of Scientology, of which John Travolta is a member. The Germans say that Scientology is not a religion but a cult, a position that was long held by our government. *Time* magazine called Scientology "a hugely profitable global racket that survives by intimidating members and critics in a Mafia-like manner."

Embarrassed, overworked White House spinners explain that Clinton is a bear for religious freedom. They are shocked that anyone would think there was any *quid pro quo* in the equation, even if Travolta plays Clinton in a forthcoming movie, "Primary Colors."

This was a little behind-the-scenes glimpse of White House priorities. To this president, a good picture is as important in communication as the carriers he has sent to the Persian Gulf to make Saddam Hussein blink.

Last Tuesday's go-get-'em speech had only one touch of show biz. Early on, in what may have been a subtle thrust at his other assailant, Kenneth Starr, the president invoked the first lady. He made her the patroness



**MARY
McGRORY**
Syndicated
Columnist

of this dubious enterprise — which will kill civilians, to what end is not clear. He quoted Hillary Clinton's inspiring millennium slogan, "remembering the past and imagining the future." It was a knightly moment — medieval warriors used to tack their fair lady's scarf to their banners going into battle. The country was obviously supposed to admire this tribute to a wife who may not be speaking to her husband in the wake of the Monica storm that has released so much poison gas in Washington.

The first lady had a starring role in another little White House scandal. She plotted with Sidney Blumenthal, a journalist who would rather be a courtier and who finally stepped out of the closet and went to work at the White House last year. She and Blumenthal, who was then ostensibly working for *The New Yorker*, cooked up the idea of going after Susan Schmidt, *The Washington Post's* lead reporter on Whitewater. The collaboration between the two conspiracy buffs — one ferocious, the other fatuous — was lethal, and White House press secretary Michael McCurry and ex-counsel Mark Fabiani killed the circulation of the finished product, which branded the reporter as unfair.

I have known Susan Schmidt since we worked together on the *Washington Star*. She was quiet and hard-working as she slogged through the marshes of Whitewater. "Everybody hates me," she would say. "No," I told her, "it's

just your story — it's too old and too complicated." Now that the Monica story has merged with Whitewater, her hour has struck. She is Cinderella. Editors consult her. She is pleased with the release of the plot. "They've been doing it to me for years."

Such is the environment of paranoia and intrigue in which the president gave his explanation of why we may have to go to war with Iraq. But his recounting of his miserable relationship with Saddam Hussein comes when a clamor for explanation of his relationship with Monica Lewinsky is drowning out the sound of trumpet and drum.

The best people who ever worked for him are leading the chorus. Leon Panetta, his erstwhile chief of staff, a man without malice, said on ABC's "This Week" that "with these kinds of situations, it's much better to be forthcoming, to tell the American people what's involved." George Stephanopoulos said the same.

White House press secretary McCurry, who may be the only person to come out of the broil with enhanced reputation — he wins plaudits for good manners under fire — unexpectedly confided to the *Chicago Tribune's* Roger Simon: "Maybe there'll be a simple, innocent explanation. I don't think so, because I think we would have offered that up already."


Maybe Hollywood will help him dream up a way to explain it all. The president has to open up his secrets, just the way he demands that Saddam Hussein open up his palaces to UNSCOM. Pretty soon, he better tell us what it was all about — the 37 visits after Lewinsky's White House time was up, the gifts, the extravagant job counseling. Otherwise, he might get bombed himself.

Write to McGrory at Universal Press, 4900 Main St., Kansas City, MO 64112.

1998 IMPEACHMENT

C A L E N D A R

Center for 
American Values



Six
years of lies,
corruption &
criminal activity

HOW MUCH MORE CAN WE ENDURE?

A moral, religious revival is the only thing that can save U.S.

Wherever the Washington slime eventually settles, Americans should have learned once and for all that character counts and that there is no separation between a person's private life and his or her public life.

Just as a person has only one body, he has only one life and one character. A person who lies and cheats in his private life will lie and cheat in his public life. A person incompetent to manage his private affairs will be incompetent to manage public affairs.

How this silly notion that the same person could be a devil in private and a saint in public lodged itself in so many heads defies rational explanation. Certainly history provides no such examples unless you want to state that Adolf Hitler was a good fellow because he liked dogs and was a vegetarian. Who but a fool would think that a man who would betray his wife and the mother of his

children would be faithful and steadfast to an anonymous public?


William Jefferson Clinton is a liar, a manipulator, a philanderer, an adulterer and a draft-dodger. He was before he was elected, and he is today. Except among naive and partisan fanatics, his credibility is zero.

When Clinton leaves the office, the White House will need to be fumigated. No president has ever before brought such disgrace to the office and to the country. Richard Nixon broke laws because, in his paranoid mind, he saw his enemies as enemies of the country. Some of them were, too. American communists never forgave Nixon for nailing the Soviet spy Alger Hiss.

The purpose of the Watergate burglary was to determine if Communist Cuba was financing the Democratic campaign. The other break-ins were directed at people who were leaking classified documents during a

war. The coverups were Nixon's attempts to protect people he considered loyal. But Clinton's loyalty is only to himself. If he leaves office before his term is up, it will be because of sordid acts of adultery with a girl young enough to be his daughter and an endless stream of lies designed to protect no one but himself.

Clinton, being a psychopathic person, will not voluntarily leave office. If he goes, Democrats will have to force him by threatening to vote for impeachment. Republican partisans, naturally, would prefer that he stay in office. Otherwise, Al Gore will have a two-year jump on the presidential election in 2000. The worst possible scenario for Gore is to be forced to remain the loyal



subordinate of a thoroughly disgraced and ineffective president.

As much as I disagree with Gore's political ideas, it would not be in the best interests of the country for Clinton to stay. He's hopelessly tarnished and does not have the moral standing to be a leader either domestically or internationally. He has made the United States a laughingstock.

Maybe you wouldn't buy a used car from Nixon, but I don't think you would trust Clinton with your teenage daughter.

The electoral success of Clinton was a product of the times. In part it was because of the arrogance of George Bush. In part it

was because of the stupidity of the Republicans, many of whom think a presidential nomination is a sort of gold watch to be awarded for party loyalty. In part it was because of the low morals of the American people, many of whom are as sleazy as Clinton.

We are seeing the logical consequences of the deliberate rejection during the past few decades of morality, tradition and religion. When the only standard is success, and success is measured only by money, power or celebrityship, the civilization has pretty much been hollowed out and is ready for the proverbial ash heap of history. Eric Hoffer, the old waterfront philosopher, wrote in his last book that he didn't think that the United States would survive the 1960s generation. If the United States does survive, it will be because of a moral and religious revival not because of politics. The state of the union is beyond the help of mere elections.

The Orlando Sentinel

NATIONAL

Democrats fear scandal may lead to voter backlash

Supporting Mr. Clinton has become extremely costly. He has destroyed the Democrats' congressional majorities in both houses, and though he managed to win re-election (by methods that will now get redoubled scrutiny), he has destroyed his own presidency. And his disgrace will be contagious.

The larger moral question, whether the president used the charisma of his power and the delicious danger of "fooling around" in the Oval Office to persuade an impressionable young woman not much older than his daughter to engage in cheap sex, is a more interesting question for the rest of us. Bob Packwood lost his job for a lot less.