C-SPAN:

My name is and I am writing to get some idea as to how or why your operation is not inclined to cover the activities and plans of a concept known as Project '93. Most of the time, C-SPAN is probably as close as an average citizen can get to having access to a relatively neutral communication source.

In this situation, it is more than curious that C-SPAN would choose to ignore Project '93, especially when C-SPAN does air the concerns and goals of groups that are bent on destroying America. To be more specific, during the recent rounds of hearings of would-be Clinton appointees, many IPS associates managed to be seen and heard across the land. If fair is fair in the media (not a rhetorical point), then you have nothing to lose by seeing to it that Project '93 gets some coverage.

Probably, it has never been suggested that C-SPAN could do a great service to the nation by exploring the motives and the integrity of the IRS in a series of programs devoted to exploring the credibility of the IRS and its role in protecting IPS' utterly undeserved 501 (c) (3) status. Of all of the many so-called think tanks in D C, IPS has n e v e r deserved this tax status. Somehow, this has gone unnoticed by the special people at the IRS.

Without getting into a debate on the merits - or lack thereof - of IPS and such groups, it should be enough to say that C-SPAN needs to take a much better look at what is fair treatment and what is discrimination in the name of an informed public. By choosing to ignore the requests of Project '93 for coverage, C-SPAN will violate the basic raison d'etre underlying any functional sense of fair play in media.

Kindly take another look at what Project '93 is all about. All you have to lose is your self-respect and your credibility. What you stand to gain is a greater measure of true freedom. Hopefully, you have not lost sight of this profound concept.

Yours,

Clay Center, KS 67432





C-SPAN 400 N Capital, Suite 650 Washington, D C 20001