

C-SPAN
400 N. CAPITOL ST. NW #650
WASHINGTON, D.C.

31 July 1993

Dear Sirs:

Let me extend my Kudos, Compliments, complaints, and Frustrations to you for your generally most entertaining and sometimes enlightening morning interview/call-in programing.

I normally watch the first hour of commercial network news, then switch to C-Span around 8:00 o'clock to enjoy your program. My kudos and compliments are for your efforts to present a non-biased format, however, I do wish the moderator would be a little more forcefull when the guests are so blatantly hypocritical in professing no "liberal" bias in the predominant news media.

Two cases in point occurred last week. The first was when a guest inferred that the Washington Times, because of its conservative leaning, was not worth reading and should have no credibility in the news/political arena. Where then was his unbiased opinion? The second example was when a female guest proffered her opinion that the President should have called his military Joint Chiefs of Staff into his office and reminded them that HE was the Commander in Chief and hence forth they would openly accept homosexuals with all rights and priveleges. The Joint Chiefs were then to salute, do an about face, go out the door and do it. Then every body would be happy.

I submit that it is the professed "non-biased" liberal predominant news media^{THAT} are the ones that "just don't get it" The average citizen does believe in certain basic rights-but- we also believe in responsibilities of actions by the individuals to earn the rights,(or keep them). To attempt to mandate a change in society by edict is contrary to the will of the majority, but in some ways it has been done already.

As a white anglo-saxon adult "straight" protastant tax paying male who was born in Kansas with a mother tongue of american english, I violate someones "protected civil rights" if I call a homosexual "Queer or fagot" or if I call an "afro-american" a nigger. It is perfectly correct by liberal thinking for me then to be called "a homophobic mother-fucking honky bigot", on the one hand I hurt someone's feelings, on the other hand someone was using the "right" of freedom of speach to express their feelings.

Believe it or not, I am and have always been a registered Democrat, I have, however seldom voted a straight "Party" ticket. It has become hard for me to associate myself with a party so riddled with corruption,e.g. From the last General Election: Starting with the President who has broken practically every promise of being a "new democrat" by immediately consorting with Sen's Kennedy and Mitchell, as well as House Speaker Foley, all who being ultra left, conveniently kept at arms length during the campaign. Our "non-biased" news media aided and abeted in thier failure to pursue the character of the candidate and by intentionally failing to report on economic news favorable to the incumbant. The news media also helped in the election of Sen. Braun, a black woman with alegations of welfare and medicaid fraud (covered up?) who rode the coat tails of the

Clarence Thomas-Anita Hill fiasco. The election of California Senators Barbara Boxer, a confirmed "check-kiter", in the House, and former mayor of San Francisco Diane Feinstein who along with the "women's movement" is the most outspoken "gay-rights" lobbyist in the Senate is only part of it. In Florida a Federal Judge, Alcee Hastings, who had just been impeached for misconduct and bribery was elected to the House in a "black" district, ~~The~~ Former Mayor of Washington, D.C., a convicted felon, was re-elected to a city commissioner position. The last two upstanding "Afro-Americans" are learning to emulate the white counterparts. Their whites being Rep.s Rostenkowski and Speaker Foley's wife for allegations of the House Post Office, Past Speaker Jim Wright, (forced to resign) Rep. Barney Frank, whose "lover" ran a "call boy" service from Frank's home, the other Mass. Rep who "raped one of the house Pages". The powder puff handling of the Keating Five where Sen. Cranston was allowed to retire after being involved in a scandal that was one of the cornerstones of the Savings and Loan failures. Last on this little list is the failure to bring to the open who was responsible for the leak of the F.B.I. report in the Anita Hill case. That was certainly a partisan cover-up.

On the Republican side of the ledger there was President Nixon who was forced to resign the Presidency because he tried to cover-up the actions of his staff. The forced resignation of V.P. Agnew who was a crook. We now have the feminist groups alleging misconduct by Oregon Senator Packwood. I don't know what he was alleged to have done other than be sensitive to some special feelings, he certainly didn't rape a male page on the Hill.

My party has abused the "Special Prosecutor" to the hilt. Most recently of course are the multi-millions of dollars that were spent by S.P. Walsh on the Irangate witch hunt. Each allegation or remote possibility of which received bold print, glaring headlines and several minutes of broadcast news, yet when the allegations proved unfounded there was either no retraction or follow up, unless hidden on the back pages or by the shortest of statements.

On one of your interview programs, please have your "non-biased liberal" predominant news media guests review and explain why some of these stories have never been given the "big sell". It certainly is not because we are ~~interested~~ not interested, but more likely in competition to get the "new" news of controlled sensationalism, we the reader are, considered to have the intelligence and attention span of the subscribers to the National Inquirer.

In the first line of my letter I extended four things. I intended that my complaints were not capitulated, as I truly have few with your program. (They're mostly with some of the answers some of your guests try to pass off).

Now for the frustrations, the biggest being my inability to ever reach you on your call in portion, the second being not able to join in the dialogue with those people fortunate to have their call accepted on the air. Further, on my local cable network I would gladly exchange four channels, (two Bible thumpers and two home shopping) for full coverage of C-Span 2.

Keep up the flow of information to the intelligent masses. I enjoy the show.

Most Respectfully

Palm Bay, FL 32907

[REDACTED]
Palm Bay, FL 32907



WASH., D.C. GMF DCR#7 08/03/93 00:57

002594 AUG 393

C-SPAN
400 NORTH CAPITOL ST. N.W.

650
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001

