


Re: Erroneous comparison of Anita Hill & Paula Jones

Anita Hill did not sue C. ^{THOMAS}~~Jones~~ (after years of silence);
"Cyber" objected to his being on the Supreme Court
because of his sexual preoccupations. She did not
seek publicity, nor was she financed by political
zealots. (We are stuck with C.T. for life because of
Pres Bush's blatant political compromise, reinforced by his
lie that C.J. was the best qualified candidate voters
can choose their president, regardless of "addictions")
P. Jones endured one crude gesture (if she is truthful). A.H.
had to choose between career and self-esteem, not an easy
decision for a young black woman in 1970. Feminists have
been there - and in hotel rooms. We know the difference.
(Besides, C.T. is UG-GGG-lee!) Ciao, [REDACTED]

DC 20037-2506



The City College of New York • CUNY • 150TH ANNIVERSARY

WASHINGTON JOURNAL ANCHORS

C-SPAN

400 N. CAPITOL ST. N.W. #650

WASHINGTON, DC 20001

