

S-28-98

To the ~~Program~~ Director
of C-SPAN networks
400 Capitol St, NW Suite 650
Washington D.C. 20001

a. pros

Dear Program Director,

This concerns the decline of good programming on C-SPAN and of why we now only have got 1 network instead of the 2 we use to have. We use to have C-SPAN(1) on ch 46 and C-Span (2) on channel 48. Now since billionaire Robert Murdoch bought up channel 46 for his Fox news network we only have C-SPAN(2) on channel 48. And the programming there in recent years has declined to a lifeless graveyard of useless static.

2-3 years ago we would have had much very enlightening and interesting programming on both C-SPAN channels. We would have had voices there unheard of on other channels. Especially after Phil Donahue's show was censored for being 'too liberal', C-SPAN would've given air to a diversity of exciting spokesmen as Ralph Nader, Jesse Jackson, organized labor meetings, the Natural Law Party and Clifford Stoll author of 'Silicon Snake Oil'.

and who was the best author. I have ~~to~~ ever
been alive, I wouldn't have known of his books
if it weren't on TV.

All we ever get now is dullard Brian Lamb
(light bulb head and an unattractive old fart)
interviewing one boring author right after another
with the exception of Pat Buchanan whom I missed.
If not that than deadbeats from the Clinton
administration discussing Paula Jones or Middle
East foreign pollycs. Items that are out of the
interest of ordinary Americans day-to-day
affairs. Communist news channels couldn't get anything
more narrow and dead.

I would like to see a more colorful and exci-
ting program diet of speakers as Jim Hightower,
Norman Solomon, Mitchell Moore, the Nation magazine
pundits, the Progressive Populist magazine writers and
Alexander Cockburn. I would like to see affairs
discussed of interest to American people, not the
government elite, with what they want as.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]
Vancouver, Wash. 98663