Editor.

Ever since Hillary Clinton mentioned a right-wing conspiracy against the president, there have been numerous reporters denying that possibility.

I don't know what their definition of conspiracy is, but by my definition such a thing exists. My dictionary states, "An agreement to perform together an illegal, treacherous, or evil act. A combining or acting together, as if by evil design. An agreement between two or more persons to commit a crime, or to accomplish a legal purpose through illegal action."

In the first place the meeting, which has been confirmed, between Sen Jesse Helms, Sen Launch Faircloth, and Judge David Sentelle, all Clinton detractors, started the conspiracy. They agreed to get rid of Robert Fisk and to appoint Kenneth Starr in his place. They claimed that Fisk was too partisan to keep the job, and Sentelle's wife was given a job in Sen Faircloth's office as a reward for this action. How many meeting have taken place since then, and whether they included Kenneth Starr, no one knows. They certainly are not going to let the public know one way or another.

Whether there is a conspiracy or just a "loose-knit out to get Clinton group", they are certainly out to get Clinton, and they could care less about the country.

The latest item of note in this continuing saga was the statement by Sen. Torricelli, as a guest on the Sunday, February 8, TV show, "This week with Cokie Roberts and Sam Donaldson". Torricelli stated that Richard Mellon Scaife paid out \$600,000 to change testimony in the Arkansas Whitewater trial. We know that Scaife is a strong financial supporter of the Republican party, an avid Clinton hater, and the person who paid for the course at Pepperdyne University that is being held for Kenneth Starr, when he finishes with the Clintons.

With this and all that's happened in between, is this not a conspiracy ??

VanBuren, Ark.

Feb. 9, 1998 C-Span 400 N. Capital St. NW Suite 650 Washington, D C 20001 Attn..Brian Lamb Dear Mr. Lamb, I am an avid fan of the "Journal" telecasts and watch them every chance that I get; which is most of the time as I am retired and don't have to punch the time clock. It always amazes me that you get so much flack about the fairness/unfairness of the shows. Obviously the callers have their bias, just as I have. It does show through. You will receive this same letter as I send you copies of my "Letters to the Editor", so that you will have my viewpoint. I have tried for over five years to get a phone line to your shows, to no avail. I guess that the callers call into the show even before they know what the topic is, and evidently have an opinion on everything. Until the topic is known, I refuse to open my mouth and show my ignorance. I can promise you that the news people haven't a clue as to what the people out here in the hinderland are thinking or what they feel. I also think that maybe this applies to our elected officials. Anyway, I will put this cover letter in my word processor and it will arrive periodically with a letter that has been sent to the editor of the local paper. It is the only way for me to get the information that I have had printed in the paper to you so that you know what we out here are thinking. Van Buren, Arkansas 72956 o a lew