

1228-90

MAR 20 REC'D
APR 18 ANS'D

March 15, 1990

Mr. Lamb:

I've always enjoyed your television demeanor and since you've overcome your reluctance to discuss the Israel issue, I consider you the ultimate in objectivity. You have an ingenuous quality and while few consider it a commendable attribute, I consider it a quality needed before humanity can evolve to a higher level. I compare your job to that of a peace-maker in a riotous family. Always at the center of controversy but not always appreciated.

Let me write a few observations about the Nesson/Blitzer show aired 3/12. I'm sure I cannot fully understand the difficulty C-Span has maintaining balance, but there must be a better way. For instance, when a caller complained about the disproportionate number of Jews on television, Blitzer accused not only the caller, but by inference, all who resent Jewish monopoly of media of anti-Semitism. Most Americans resent Jewish dominance in the media, not because they're Jews but because their social and political agendas (abortion, Israel, quotas, etc.) saturate their media offerings. Today's television media is a listing ship, exposing only one side to the American public. C-Span can't provide all the ballast needed but it can work to provide face to face confrontations which is the only way balance can be achieved. Face to face provides knowledgeable rebuttal. It counters organized caller blitzes. Soap-box rambling is minimized by an adversarial presence. Mis-statements are not allowed to go unchallenged thereby minimizing the proliferation of untruths among your many viewers.

I watch all the news channels and it is obvious that dissemination of dis/mis and information is coordinated to the agenda of special interests. If C-Span aspires to be other than a tool of special interests or the news equivalent of pro-rassleing, it will re-think presenting Israeli propagandists like Wolfe Blitzer, (3/12) and Rep. Engel, (3/14) without face to face opposition.

Respectfully,


Omaha, NE 68134