

05-17-93

C SPAN
400 North Capitol St. N.W.
650
Washington DC 20001

002594 MAY 21 1993

Dear Editor:

I have attached an article I had written in response to a March 29th Newsweek piece titled "White Male Paranoia".

I have found a disturbing coincidence in both this article and statements made by Mr. James Fox, dean of the college of criminal justice at Northeastern University in Boston, regarding the recent post office shootings.

Fox stated that middle-aged white males, having grown up in an era of unprecedented prosperity, believe that a good job is a birthright and that they (white males), perceive the workplace as being taken over by women and minorities.



The same basic statement appeared in the Newsweek article, " White Male Paranoia ", a number of weeks prior to these post office shootings.

In the past, these violent acts were written off by media and so called experts as being perpetrated by long disturbed individuals and the term " loner " was continually used to describe the white males responsible.

It now appears that the " experts " admit to a pattern of employment policies that have victimized white males and is widespread. Of course men feel they have a birthright to earn a living.

Is the opportunity for a good job or promotion a gift based on the generosity of government? Or the result of hard work and dedication, as men have been brought up to believe.

What is becoming evident is that "the chickens are coming home to roost" and they are very angry indeed.


Chagrin Falls, Oh 44023


Mar 26, 1993

Newsweek
444 Madison Ave.
New York, N.Y. 10022

Dear Editors,

Your March 29th edition article, " White Male Paranoia ", demonstrates a thinly disguised attempt to shift the anger of white males towards minorities and away from liberal feminists. Throughout the article, white females berate white males while trying to convince readers it is really blacks and minorities we white men blame for our plight.

Feminists have skillfully used minorities in general and blacks in particular, as tools to accomplish their ends and scapegoats to deflect the anger that white males feel toward the real antagonists, liberal feminists.

It is after all, white females who have have benefited disproportionately by affirmative action and quotas, not minorities. Black Males have suffered the most damaging effects of power hungry feminist's grab for power and Mr. Gates confirms this in his article.

Feminism, political correctness, multiculturalism, diversity, quotas and preferential treatment are not the progeny of disenfranchised minorities, but the misguided result of a radical feminist movement out of control.

Mr. Gates allows that white males account for 39.2% of the population. That amounts to nearly the total number of voters casting ballots in our last presidential election. Hardly a group without electoral power.

Feminists and their liberal, toady, lawmaker accomplices, would do well to fear this power, as affirmative action and quotas have not yet corrupted the electoral process although the executive branch appears to have succumbed to a quota driven method of choosing it's appointees.

But Bella Abzug, ex-representative from New York, did present just such a proposition at the democratic platform hearings last fall. It was her belief that a quota system for females, be utilized in the electoral process in order that women acquire more " power " in congress, at an accelerated timetable. She made no mention of minorities in this absurd proposition, further evidence of the low priority feminists allow to blacks and others.

The truth as to our predicament is we white (and black) males have been had. What we mistakenly believed was an altruistic effort to bring about fairness and equality in

our culture was in truth an insidious attempt by the women's movement to cow, and in it's most radical form, subjugate all males.

Males of diverse cultures and races share much the same goals and ambitions. Primarily to provide for wives and children. This is where the feminists have hit the hardest. Mr. Gates' facts bear this out when he states that white men have seen their earnings drop by 25% during the 1980s. What he did not say was that female's earnings, both black and white had actually increased. One can only speculate on the loss of earnings black males may have experienced during the same time period.

Susan Faludi, in her book " Backlash ", sniffs contemptuously and ridicules white males for their belief that " Men measure their masculinity by their ability to provide for a family ", shows how insidious, feminist's power driven agenda is, and the adverse impact feminism has had on the white male and unfortunately, the structure of the family.

This fact fortunately, has not been lost on everyone, as the April edition of Atlantic magazine has dedicated half of it's space to issues of the destruction of the family, and with billboard size cover type stating, " DAN QUAYLE WAS RIGHT ".

How can any reasonable white male blame blacks and minorities for the loss of job opportunities and destruction of the family, when it is the women's movement that has precipitated all manner of role changing and other forms of emasculation aimed at husbands and fathers.

In your companion article, " To the victors, few spoils " again demonstrates that white females have been the beneficiaries of quotas and affirmative action. It states the firm of Korn Ferry International, published their 10 year survey showing white females had increased their ranks in senior management by 2 1/2 percent while blacks and hispanics had only progressed by a few tenths of a percent.

Since the entire black population of this country only comprises roughly one third of the white male population, 12.4% vs. 39.2%, one could assume that every working age black american, could be employed through a quota system and affirmative action with little if any adverse effect on the work force. But apply the same preferential treatment quota system to the white female component, and we now see the reasons for the erosion of the white male's earnings and employment potential. There are simply are not enough jobs to accommodate the non-minority white female and not displace the white male from the workforce.

The tone of your article is testimony to the type of feminist nastiness that white males have endured for decades.

Males of all races have an unfortunate proclivity for violence when sufficiently provoked, as Michael Douglas demonstrated in the movie, Falling Down.

Perhaps feminists and liberal do-gooders have not noticed the astronomical rise in crime and in particular the rise in violence against women that has occurred in the last decade.

Could it be that male bashing, so abundant in all media, combined with continued assaults on the white male's ability to provide for his family has provided that provocation ?

James S. Barber

[REDACTED]
Chagrin Falls, Oh 44023
[REDACTED]