Brian Lamb C-SPAN 400 M. Capital St. NW Suite 650 Washington, DC 20001

Dear Brian; Thank you for the excellent coverage of government and palities. I appreciate being able to watch Congressional committee hearings as well as regular sessions of congress and I injoy features such as Book hotes, the notional Press Club luncheons and Various paleay group meetings. I am grateful for the insight and interest is governmental activities I have gained watching C-SPAN.

However, I have become more and more troubled by Call-in shows. I know the ypremise is to allow Viewers to express opinion and ask questions but I am beginning to wonder if you are getting less and less ardinary Viewers and more and mare political operatives using these Call-ins as gree air time to broad cast their propaganda or damage control. As I listened this week, it seemed a huge majority of the Viewers oppose an investigation of Whitewater. I tried to call but could

not get through. This is such a stark difference from what I hear around one and what is coming over the local talk show which is hasted by a man who says he voted for Presedent Clinton. I, also, saw a gall showing sixty tars yer cent favoring the appointment of a special Counsel. yet, on Larry King Live, M. Laughlin, and Donahue Pasner I hear Callers who are the same as C-SPAN or they are reading from the same script. There are about four or five different lines I hear over and aver. I really believe that the Clinton damage Control operatives are using Call in shows as part of a cluver strategy to create a deceptive impression that the entire country is opposing an investigation and angry with those who are demanding it.

Viewers believe in the integrity of C-SPAN and treest it as an alternative to other media. If my perception is true, it is a tremendous disservice to viewers having political strategist use Call- in shows to decieve journalist and viewers in this way.

Dincerely,