

cc: T. Murphy

004498 DEC 17 90

Christmas card
12-20-90

December 14, 1990

TO: C - SPAN CABLE TELEVISION
400 N. Capitol St., Ste. 650
Washington, D.C., 20001

I send this letter with sincere appreciation for your efforts and your television coverage of United States Government operations which are more than informative.

Your continued television observations will hopefully provide the proper motivation for this country's citizens to take the necessary steps to return their government back to the people and make this country, honest, fair, decent and an inspiration to the rest of the world of nations.


Recent and continuing events published in your news media, the "Keating Five" Ethics Hearings, all of the major Congressional sessions, news such as "Federal Judge rejects Lawmaker's suit to curb Bush" (military attack on Iraq), \$1 billion loan guarantees to Russia, plus many other major stories..... are indicative of the U.S. Governmental strategy of HATE and HOLDING HOSTAGE U.S. CITIZENS via numerous schemes and conspiracies in opposition to the Founding Father's vision of the Bill of Rights and Our Constitutions.

We are already living in a police state; the Judges give immunity to Government employees with the exception of "lay" tax paying citizens. Why? What is motivating this un-lawful conduct?

We have examples of LOVE in the form/response to blackmail by black activists, i.e., Affirmative Action Programs and the DE FACTO government religion promulgated by jewish activists in the form of no-fault divorce and child custody (kidnapping).

But, where is the LOVE as described by the examples chronicled in "THE GREATEST STORY EVER TOLD", whose main character was born on DECEMBER 25.

Thank you for your efforts,



Dearborn Heights, Mi., 48127

P.S. Could I beg your help in obtaining a copy of the new, toughened law on government ETHICS? Thank you.

NOVEMBER 20, 1990

SENATOR HOWELL T. HEFLIN, CHAIRMAN
SELECT COMMITTEE ON ETHICS, SH-220
WASHINGTON, D.C., 20510

I AM ATTENTIVELY LISTENING TO MOST ALL OF THE "KEATING FIVE"
HEARINGS VIA C - SPAN TELEVISION.

OUR COUNTRY IS EITHER GOING TO BE FOR THE COMMON GOOD OR REVERT
TO THE NATURAL LAW. ANXIOUSLY AWAITING JUSTICE.

1. ANY LEARNED STUDENT OF THE DOCUMENTS PROMULGATED IN THE
FORMATION OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE UNITED STATES MAKES NO MENTION
OF THE WORD LAWYER.

2. THE JUDICATURE ACT OF 1789, A STATUTE OF THE FIRST CONGRESS,
MENTIONED THE WORD.

3. THIS FACT SO ENRAGED JAMES MADISON, THAT IN THE SIXTH
AMENDMENT, HE MENTIONED ONLY "COUNSEL". THE WORD LAWYER DID NOT
MAKE IT INTO THE BILL OF RIGHTS.


4. TO PUNCTUATE HIS WRATH, "THE ROYAL LAWYERS", IN THE SEVENTH
AMENDMENT, WERE REDUCED TO A \$20 JURISDICTION.

99.99% OF ALL JUDGES IN THE U.S. ARE LAWYERS.

5. AND, THE JUDICIAL JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES WAS
RETURNED FOREVER TO THE "PEOPLE".

THE PEOPLE'S CURSE IS, THE UNITED STATES COURTS WHO STAND IN
OPPOSITION TO THE "BILL OF RIGHTS".

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,



DEARBORN HEIGHTS, MI., 48127

NOVEMBER 20, 1990

ROBERT BENNETT, SPECIAL COUNSEL
SELECT COMMITTEE ON ETHICS, SH-220
HART SENATE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, D.C., 20510

REGARDING THE "KEATING FIVE" ETHICS HEARINGS:

YOUR WORK HAS COMPELLED THE "HONORABLE" SENATORS TO APPEAR AND PROTEST TOO MUCH.

JESUS CHRIST SAID, BEFORE THE COCK CROWS THREE TIMES, ONE OF YOU SHALL HAVE BETRAYED ME. AND, JUDAS SAID, LORD, IS IT I? AND, JESUS ANSWERED, "THOU HAST SAID IT".

ALSO, QUOTED FROM THE HARVARD BUSINESS REVIEW BY PAT CHOATE, JAPAN'S CAMPAIGN FOR AMERICA; "IN THE SPRING OF 1988, THE U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE BECAME AWARE OF JAPAN'S ABUSE OF TARIFF REGULATIONS AND INITIATED A REVIEW PROCESS, INVITING COMMENTS FROM INTERESTED PARTIES. THE JAPAN LOBBY WENT TO WORK. JAPANESE INTERESTS RESPONDED BY EXPANDING THEIR LOBBYING TEAM. IN OCTOBER 1988, FOR INSTANCE, SUZUKI MOTOR COMPANY HIRED ROBERT THOMPSON, A WELL-CONNECTED REPUBLICAN LOBBYIST WHO HAD BEEN AN AIDE TO VICE PRESIDENT GEORGE BUSH IN THE 1980'S."

"THEN IN A REMARKABLE TWIST, THE U.S. GOVERNMENT MADE JAPAN'S VICTORY EVEN MORE COMPLETE: THE JAPANESE CONVINCED THE BUSH ADMINISTRATION TO RECLASSIFY THE VEHICLES (CARS) AS TRUCKS FOR SALE ONCE THEY WERE INSIDE THE UNITED STATES."

"IN THE END, FOR AN ESTIMATED \$3 MILLION INVESTMENT IN LOBBYISTS, PUBLIC RELATIONS ADVISERS, AND POLITICAL CONSULTANTS, THE JAPANESE AVOIDED MORE THAN \$500 MILLION PER YEAR IN IMPORT DUTIES--WITHOUT MAKING A SINGLE CONCESSION OR AGREEING TO A SINGLE U.S. DEMAND."

AND, WE PROSECUTE "MILKEN" AND THE NEWSPAPERS CALL HIM A DIRTY BIRD.

P.S. THIS MESSAGE TO YOU WAS COMPILED ON AN I.B.M. COMPATIBLE COMPUTER. WHICH CONTAINS AN 8088 PROCESSOR. THE 8088 PROCESSOR HAS BEEN INSTALLED IN 6 MILLION AMERICAN COMPUTERS COMPLIMENTS OF JAPAN WHO HOLD A WORLD WIDE PATENT ACQUIRED FROM A BANKRUPT TOOL COMPANY IN PENNSYLVANIA. THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT COULD HAVE APPROPRIATED THESE PATENTS PRIOR TO THE JAPANESE ACQUISITION AND THE U.S. GOVERNMENT PASSED.

SLEEP WELL, MY FRIEND. GENERAL CORNWALLIS' SPIRIT LIVES ON.

DEARBORN HEIGHTS, MI., 48127

NOVEMBER 4, 1990

GARY A. CONDIT, COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS
UNITED STATES CONGRESS
WASHINGTON, D.C., 20515

CONG. GARY A CONDIT,

THANK YOU FOR YOUR WRITTEN RESPONSE!

I AM WRITING TO YOU NOT AS MY ELECTED REPRESENTATIVE BUT AS A MEMBER OF THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS AND AS AN GOVERNMENT OFFICER WHO SWORE AN OATH TO UPHOLD THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES. PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING:

YOU HAVE BEEN NOTIFIED OF GRIEVOUS VIOLATIONS OF CONSTITUTIONAL LAW COMMITTED BY MEMBERS OF CONGRESS WHICH TO SAY THE LEAST IS A FELONY. I AM SURPRISED YOU ARE NOT AWARE THAT ANY OFFICER OF THE GOVERNMENT WHO DOES NOT INVESTIGATE ALLEGATIONS OF FELONY IS GUILTY IN LAW OF MISPRISION OF FELONY. YOUR LETTER IS EVIDENCE OF YOUR INTENTION TO DEFRAUD YOUR CONSTITUTIONAL OATH.

SEEING ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES ARE VIOLATING CITIZENS RIGHTS WITH ARROGANCE AND IMPUNITY, MY ONLY RECOURSE IS TO PURSUE MY SERIOUS GRIEVANCES WITH THE UNITED NATIONS AND THE WORLD COURT AND THE ULTIMATE FORUM.

ALSO, THE FEDERAL COURTS AS A MATTER OF DISCRIMINATORY POLICY AND DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS ARE DENYING FATHERS THEIR "BILL OF RIGHTS" BY STATING THEY DO NOT HAVE JURISDICTION BUT "THUS, CONGRESS (THROUGH RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE) HAVE THE AUTHORITY IN THE CONSTITUTION TO ORDAIN AND ESTABLISH INFERIOR COURTS. THEREFORE, CONGRESS HAS POWER TO FIX PRECISELY THE JURISDICTION OF THOSE COURTS. U.S. V. HOLLAND, 552 F. 2D. 667, (5TH CIR. 1977).

ALSO, THERE ARE NO FEDERAL STATUTES, EXCEPT THE CONSTITUTION AND FEDERAL CASE LAW, WHICH SECURE MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO BE A PARENT AND WHICH SECURE MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO MY LAWFUL PROPERTY AS AN OUTSTANDING CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES. BUT, THERE ARE NUMEROUS FEDERAL STATUTES TO GIVE WOMEN AND BLACKS DISCRIMINATION OVER WHITE MALES.

THE ALLEGED JUDGES AND LAWYERS IN THE MAJORITY OF THE STATES DO NOT HAVE A FEDERAL NOR A STATE ISSUED LICENSE TO PRACTICE LAW AND THEREFORE LAWYERS ARE A FAVORED GROUP, AND ARE UN-CONVICTED FELONS ACTING IN CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD DECENT CITIZENS OF THEIR LAWFUL RIGHTS.


ALSO, FEDERAL PUBLIC LAW 100-352 PASSED BY CONGRESS AND SIGNED INTO LAW BY FORMER PRESIDENT RONALD REAGAN ON JUNE 27, 1988, EFFECTIVELY DENIES ME AND OTHER INNOCENT LITIGANTS THE RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS AND IS REPUGNANT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

ANY APPEALS, MINE OR OTHER CITIZENS MAKE, CAN BE NOW QUASHED BY DENIAL OF APPLICATION FOR CERTIORARI WHICH WAS NOT THE INTENTION OF THE FRAMERS OF THE CONSTITUTION. WHY IS THERE A LIMIT OF THE QUANTITY OF CASES HEARD BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT?

WHAT DOES A CITIZEN HAVE TO DO TO RECEIVE A TRIAL BY JURY AND TO SECURE HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS? IS THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION A PRODUCT OF TINSELTOWN, A HOLLYWOOD FABLE? DOES A UNITED STATES CITIZEN HAVE TO SUE THE ENTIRE CONGRESS IN HIS EFFORTS TO SECURE HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

I HOPE THIS LETTER RECEIVES A MORE POSITIVE RESPONSE THAN MY FIRST LETTER OR DOES EQUAL TREATMENT ONLY DEFINE, WOMEN AND BLACKS AND YOUR RESPONSE IS INTERPRETED AS "TO HELL WITH DECENT WHITE MEN"?

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,



DEARBORN HEIGHTS, MI., 48127

CC: CONGRESSIONAL ETHICS COMMITTEE
CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPERS

U.S. Rep. Carl Russell
Standards of Official Conduct

GARY A. CONDIT
15TH DISTRICT, CALIFORNIA

1729 LONGWORTH BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-0515
(202) 225-6131

COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON
COTTON, RICE, AND SUGAR

SUBCOMMITTEE ON
LIVESTOCK, DAIRY, AND POULTRY

COMMITTEE ON
GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEE ON
GOVERNMENT INFORMATION
JUSTICE, AND AGRICULTURE



Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-0515

October 30, 1990

DISTRICT OFFICES

FEDERAL BUILDING
415 WEST 18TH STREET
MERCED, CA 95340
(209) 383-4455

920 13TH STREET
MODESTO, CA 95354
(209) 527-1914

15TH DISTRICT
TOLL FREE
1-800-356-6424

[REDACTED]
Dearborn Hgts., Michigan 48127


Dear Mr. ^{Max} Siegle:

Thank you for your recent correspondence concerning the nation's budget. I appreciate you sharing your views on this matter with me.

As you may know, your address indicates that you reside within the congressional district of The Honorable Sander Levin. It is a matter of congressional courtesy to forward constituent inquiries to the Representative who was elected to serve you. I have, therefore, taken the liberty of forwarding your letter to Congressman Levin. I am certain that your Representative will be pleased to hear from you.

Again, thank you for your letter. I appreciate you bringing this matter to my attention.

Sincerely,


GARY A. CONDIT
MEMBER OF CONGRESS

GAC/dt

OCTOBER 3, 1990

TO: PRESIDENT GEORGE BUSH, U.S.A.
PARTY REPRESENTATIVES IN CONGRESS, U.S.A.

RE: CURRENT NATIONAL BUDGET LAW PROPOSAL


COMPLAINT IN OPPOSITION

1. "WE THE PEOPLE" LANGUAGE AS STATED IN THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE IS DIFFERENT FROM THE "OLD BRITISH EMPIRE CONSTITUTION" CHARTER OF ROYAL AUTHORITY TO USE "BRITISH LAWYERS DOCTRINES" OF A UNILATERAL, SUPREME AUTHORITY OVER INDIVIDUALS WHICH SUPREMACY DEPRIVES THEM OF THEIR LIBERTY, FREEDOM AND REPRESENTATION OVER ISSUES SUCH AS THE RIGHT TO EARN A LIVING, AND THE RIGHT TO A DOMICILE, AND THE RIGHT NOT TO BE OVER-BURDENED WITH TAXES, AND THE RIGHT OF PUBLIC MORALS INCLUDING THE RIGHT TO HAVE CHILDREN AND FAMILY LIFE.
2. THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND THE BILL OF RIGHTS OF 1791 HAVE NOT BEEN LAWFULLY PUT INTO EFFECT BY DETAILED REQUIREMENTS IN THE FORM OF LEGISLATED LAW; THEREFORE, IT IS ALLEGED, YOU HAVE NO AUTHORITY WHATSOEVER, TO ACT UPON BUDGETS TO THE DETRIMENT OF THE COMMON GOOD WITHOUT THE NATIONAL REGISTERED VOTE OF "WE THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA".
3. "WE THE PEOPLE DO NOT CONSENT" TO THE NUMEROUS AND HIDDEN CONSPIRACIES WHICH ARE DEFRAUDING NON-GOVERNMENT CITIZENS OF THEIR RIGHTS TO PROPERTY AND ALL OTHER NATURAL RIGHTS YOU HAVE SWORN AN OATH TO PROTECT INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS.
4. INCOME FROM LABOR IS NOT TAXABLE AND ALL TAXES ARE NOT GATHERED VIA PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS, A VIOLATION OF THE 14TH AMENDMENT AND ALL TAX REVENUES GATHERED ARE NOT RE-APPORTIONED EQUALLY AMONG THE STATES AS MANDATED BY ARTICLE ONE, SECTION 9 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, THE ONE YOU TOOK A SOLEMN OATH TO UPHOLD.

RELIEF REQUESTED

1. THE CURRENT BUDGET SHOULD SELF CONTAIN NO PROVISIONS PROVIDING FOR EVENTS BEYOND YOUR TERM OF OFFICE.
2. "WE THE LAY PEOPLE CITIZENS" DEMAND INPUT INTO THE NATIONAL BUDGET PROCESS.
3. PROHIBIT INPUT FROM THE OPPOSITION POLITICAL PARTIES, INCLUDING JUDGES AND LAWYERS, WHO HAVE A PERSONAL INTEREST IN THE PASSAGE OF THE CURRENT BUDGET.
4. PROVIDE IN THE BUDGET FOR AN EQUAL TAX BURDEN ON IMPORTS TO BALANCE THE TAX BURDEN FOR CONSUMPTION PER ALEXANDER HAMILTON'S MANDATE IN 1789 TO KEEP A GOOD FAITH CONTRACT WITH "WE THE PEOPLE".

SIGNED:

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

AGRICULTURE COMMITTEE

SUBCOMMITTEES:

WHEAT, SOYBEANS AND FEED GRAINS
FORESTS, FAMILY FARMS AND ENERGY

BUDGET COMMITTEE

TASK FORCE ON BUDGET PROCESS,
RECONCILIATION, AND ENFORCEMENT
TASK FORCE ON URGENT FISCAL ISSUES

SELECT COMMITTEE ON AGING

BILL SCHUETTE
10TH DISTRICT, MICHIGAN

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515

October 17, 1990

415 CANNON BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
(202) 225-3561

DISTRICT OFFICES:
304 EAST MAIN ST.
MIDLAND, MI 48640
(517) 631-2552

120 WEST HARRIS ST.
PARKVIEW PLAZA NORTH
CADILLAC, MI 49601
(616) 775-2722

300 WEST MAIN ST.
OWOSSO, MI 48867
(517) 723-6759

TO MIDLAND TOLL FREE
1-800-342-2455

[REDACTED]
Dearborn Heights, Michigan 48127

Dear Max:

Thank you for your recent letter regarding the Fiscal Year 1991 federal budget. I appreciate you taking time to share your concerns with me.


The Appropriations Committee of the House of Representatives is currently writing legislation to fund Fiscal Year 1991 spending at the levels contained in the Budget Resolution which passed after the Budget Summit agreement failed, although the Majority in Congress does not appear too anxious to enact the spending cuts which were part of the same Budget Resolution.

As you know, both the budget summit agreement which failed and the current Budget Resolution contain over \$140 billion in new taxes. Furthermore, many of the cuts in both plans are completely fake and will never be enacted. Finally, there are many hidden taxes, disguised as user fees, copayments, etc. For all these reasons, I oppose both the Summit Agreement and the new budget resolution.

You should also know that I am opposed to all new taxes, of whatever type. Through economic growth alone, without any tax increases, Congress will have \$80 billion more to spend this year than last year. That ought to be enough, especially when one considers that when Congress enacts new taxes, it spends \$1.58 for every \$1 it takes in.

Thank you again for taking time to write. Rest assured I shall have your comments in mind as the budget process for Fiscal Year 1991 progresses.

Sincerely,


Bill Schuette
Member of Congress

WDS:hb

NOVEMBER 25, 1990

TO: PRESIDENT GEORGE BUSH VICE-PRESIDENT DAN QUALE
U.S. ATTY. GEN. RICHARD THORNBURGH

TO: SENATE IMPEACHMENT TRIAL COMMITTEE
JEFF BINGAMAN, OF NEW MEXICO ARLEN SPECTER OF PENNSYLVANIA
PATRICK J. LEAHY OF VERMONT DAVE DURENBERGER, OF MINNESOTA
DAVID PRYOR, OF ARKANSAS WARREN B. RUDMAN, OF NEW
HAMPSHIRE
RICHARD H. BRYAN, OF NEVADA CHRISTOPHER S. BOND, OF
MISSOURI
J. ROBERT KERREY, OF NEBRASKA SLADE GORTON, OF WASHINGTON
JOSEPH LIEBERMAN, OF CONNECTICUT CONRAD BURNS OF MONTANA

TO: SENATE ETHICS COMMITTEE

MOTION: REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT - JUDGE JOHN FEIKENS, EASTERN
DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN, SOUTHERN DIVISION, DETROIT, MICHIGAN, U.S.A.

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES [REDACTED], A NATURAL BORN, PAYROLL DEDUCTION TAX
PAYING CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND ENTITLED TO THE
BENEFITS AND ETHICS AND JUSTICE OF A JUDICIAL SYSTEM COMMENSURATE
WITH THE GUARANTEES OUTLINED IN THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED
STATES OF AMERICA WHICH HAVE REPLACED NATURAL LAW AND ALL
JUDICATURE ACTS TO WIT:

1. JOHN FEIKENS HAS TAKEN A SOLEMN OATH TO ABIDE BY THE JUDICIAL
OATH AND THE OATH OF OFFICE PRESCRIBED FOR THE OFFICE HE HOLDS...

a. IT IS ALLEGED, JOHN FEIKENS ALL OF HIS PROFESSIONAL LIFE HAS
PAID DUES INTO AN ORGANIZATION, NO PART OF STATE GOVERNMENT,
OPERATING UNDER THE TITLE, STATE BAR OF MICHIGAN...

b. IT IS ALLEGED, THE STATE BAR OF MICHIGAN, AN UN-REGISTERED
GUILD FRAUDULENTLY REPRESENTING THEMSELVES AS "PUBLIC BODY
CORPORATE", AND ALL STATE BARS IN THE UNITED STATES ARE IN THE
BUSINESS OF IMPRESSING A MONOPOLY IN THE LAW BUSINESS IN
VIOLATION OF THE UNITED STATES LAW...

2. IT IS ALLEGED, JOHN FEIKENS, FEDERAL JUDGE OF THE SIXTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT FOR THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, SOUTHERN DIVISION THAT
ALL OF HIS PROFESSIONAL JUDICIAL LIFE, HE HAS VIOLATED WITH
IMPUNITY THE "ETHICS CODE FOR JUDICIAL OFFICERS" ALONG WITH (P.L. 96-303)
CONFLICT OF INTERESTS FOR PERSONAL PROFIT WHICH IS IN VIOLATION OF

THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND THE JUDICATURE ACTS OF 1787 AND 1789 WHICH PROHIBITS ADDITIONAL EMOLUMENTS FOR ANY REASON.

3. TO SUPPORT JUDGE FEIKENS UN-CONCIENABLE CONDUCT ON THE BENCH, WE CITE THE UNITED STATES V. MAY, 555 FED. SUP. 1008, WHEREIN HIS HONOR STATED, "THUS, I FIND THAT MAY HAS BEEN FRAUDENTLY MIS-LEADING TAXPAYERS TO UN-KNOWINGLY VIOLATE THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE. SUCH ACTION IS OUTSIDE THE PROTECTION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT AND IS SUBJECT TO THE INJUNCTIVE RELIEF THE GOVERNMENT SEEKS."

THIS FROM A FEDERAL JUDGE WHO ALL HIS PROFESSIONAL LIFE HAS FRAUDULENTLY MISLED THE UN-KNOWING PUBLIC THAT HE HOLDS A LICENSE TO PRACTICE LAW IN THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, A STATE THAT ISSUES NO LICENSE TO PRACTICE LAW.

4. IT IS ALLEGED, JOHN FEIKENS APPEARS TO HAVE MADE A CAREER OF SITTING IN CONFLICT OF INTEREST WHICH APPEARS TO BE A CRIMINAL VIOLATION OF LITIGANTS' BEST INTERESTS. THE CONSENSUS OF OPINION AMONG KNOWLEDGEABLE LAYMEN AND PRACTICING LAWYERS WHOSE DISCRETIONARY SILENCE GUARANTEES THEIR FUTURE INTERESTS AND SUPPORTS THEIR CONTINUED INCOME AGREE UNANIMOUSLY THAT HONORABLE JOHN FEIKENS IS A DISGRACE TO THE FEDERAL JUDICIAL SYSTEM. AND, HAS DONE MORE TO UNDERMINE THE CONFIDENCE IN THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT THEN ANY OTHER JUDGE IN SIMILAR POSITIONS, IN OTHER CIRCUITS, WHO NO LONGER SERVE.

5. WHEN HONORABLE JOHN FEIKENS'S CONDUCT ON THE BENCH IS BLATANTLY IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE FOURTEEN OF THE INTERNATIONAL BILL OF HUMAN RIGHTS WHICH STATES, "ALL PERSONS SHALL BE EQUAL BEFORE THE COURTS AND TRIBUNALS. IN THE DETERMINATION OF ANY CRIMINAL CHARGE AGAINST HIM, OR OF HIS RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS IN A SUIT AT LAW, EVERYONE SHALL BE ENTITLED TO A FAIR AND PUBLIC HEARING BY A COMPETENT, INDEPENDENT AND IMPARTIAL TRIBUNAL ESTABLISHED BY LAW.

6. IT IS ALLEGED, HON. JOHN FEIKENS, IS IN VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS RIGHTS, WHICH IS TO SECURE THE INDIVIDUAL FROM THE ARBITRARY EXERCISE OF THE POWERS OF GOVERNMENT, UNRESTRAINED BY THE ESTABLISHED PRINCIPLE OF PRIVATE RIGHT AND DISTRIBUTIVE JUSTICE.

7. IT IS ALLEGED, HON. JOHN FEIKENS, IS ALLOWING THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, TO "BARGAIN AWAY THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE PUBLIC MORALS" WHICH IS A VIOLATION OF SUPREME COURT JUSTICE TANEY'S, 1840, PRINCIPLE OF SEPARATING CORPORATE LAW FROM INDIVIDUALS RIGHTS UNDER CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.

* *STONE V. MISSISSIPPI, 101 U.S. 814, 819 (1880)*

8. IT IS ALLEGED, HON. JOHN FEIKENS, BY HIS REFUSAL TO DEFEND INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS HAS DESTROYED THE FOUNDING FATHERS' SOCIAL COMPACT AND IS INCITING LAW ABIDING CITIZENS TO REVOLT WITH MASS DIS-OBEDIENCE.

9. IT IS ALLEGED, HON. JOHN FEIKENS, IS IN VIOLATION AND ACTING IN OPPOSITION TO THE SIXTH, SEVENTH AND EIGHTH AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. HE DID NOT ALLOW A JURY TRIAL TO A LAY LITIGANT.

10. GIVING JUDGE JOHN FEIKENS' PRE-OCCUPATION WITH CULTIVATING THE GOOD OFFICES OF THE STATE BAR OF MICHIGAN UNION MEMBERS, HE PANDERS TO THE STATE BAR UN-REGISTERED UNION AND UN-CONVICTED FELONS, CALLING THEMSELVES STATE ATTORNEYS, TO THE DETRIMENT OF LAY LITIGANTS AND THIS PRACTICE IS TO THE ALMOST COMPLETE EXCLUSION OF LAY LITIGANTS GUARANTEED RIGHTS TO PETITION GOVERNMENT FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES.¹

11. IT IS ALLEGED MANY OTHER CONFLICT OF INTERESTS TOOK PLACE IN JOHN FEIKENS' FEDERAL COURT WHICH IS WITH THE KNOWLEDGE OF ALL OFFICERS OF THE GOVERNMENT, RES IPSA LOQUITUR AND ULTRA VIRES.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

1. WHEREAS, [REDACTED] REQUESTS A THOROUGH INVESTIGATION.
2. WHEREAS, [REDACTED] REQUESTS A THOROUGH INVESTIGATION OF THE INVESTIGATORS WHO IN THE BEGINNING SAID JUDGE FEIKENS WAS A FIT PERSON TO BE A FEDERAL JUDGE.
3. WHEREAS, [REDACTED] REQUESTS THE PRESIDENT AND THE SENATE IMPEACHMENT COMMITTEE AND THE SENATE ETHICS COMMITTEE TO RE-EXAMINE AND ESTABLISH NEW LEGISLATION ACCORDING TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL GUARANTEES TO DUE PROCESS AND AN IMPARTIAL TRIBUNAL AND AN INDEPENDENT JUDICIARY MADE UP OF PEOPLE OF ALL WALKS OF LIFE NOT LIMITED TO THE STATE BAR MONOPOLIES WHICH ARE "ALL UN-CONSTITUTIONAL." A LICENSE ISSUED BY THE NON-GOVERNMENT AGENCY, A UN-REGISTERED UNION WHO CALL THEMSELVES A BAR, OF UNION MEMBERS HAS ITS ROOTS IN THE FIRST LICENSE GIVEN TO THE "KING'S TAILOR" FOR FREE CLOTHING AND TAILORING AND WHICH CREATED THE FIRST MONOPOLY.
4. WHEREAS, ALL (BAR) LICENSES ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, EQUAL TREATMENT OF THE LAW FOR ALL CITIZENS AND ALL LAW MUST BE STRUCTURED TO REQUIRE THE OUTCOMES TO BE IN THE "PUBLIC'S BEST INTERESTS." UN-CONTROLLED BRIBES OF EVERY SORT ABOUND IN EVERY COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DUE TO THE FACT, THE FEDERAL LEGISLATORS HAVE BEEN GROSSLY NEGLIGENT TO SECURE AND ACHIEVE THE BILL OF RIGHTS OF LAY CITIZENS WITHOUT COUNSEL.
5. WHEREAS, [REDACTED] REQUESTS THAT THE SEVENTH AMENDMENT LIMITS INDIVIDUAL JUDGES' JURISDICTION TO \$20, WHETHER IN A MUNICIPAL CITY COURT OR IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT AND JURY TRIALS MUST BE MANDATORY AS GUARANTEED IN THE SEVENTH AMENDMENT.
6. WHEREAS, [REDACTED] SUGGESTS TO THE PRESIDENT AND THE SENATE IMPEACHMENT COMMITTEES THAT A "SECRET GOVERNMENT EXISTS BEHIND THE SCENES IN THE UNITED STATES, UN-CONSTITUTIONALLY CONTROLLING OR MANIPULATING THE POLICIES AND ACTIONS OF ELECTED OFFICIALS.

¹ "A SELF POLICING PROFESSION IS A CONSPIRACY AGAINST THE LAITY", GEORGE BERNARD SHAW.

7. MR. [REDACTED] REQUESTS, IT IS TIME TO OVERTURN MARBURY VS MADISON, WHEREBY CHIEF JUSTICE JOHN MARSHALL TOLD JOHN MADISON TO TAKE HIS "BILL OF RIGHTS" AND "HIS VERSION OF THE COMMON LAW" AND GO BAG IT BECAUSE THE INTERESTS OF THE "KINGS' MEN AND THE KINGS' COURT ARE GOING TO PREVAIL HERE IN THE NEW LAND IN SPITE OF THE FACT, THAT WHEN GENERAL CORNWALLIS OF THE ENGLISH ARMY WAS DEFEATED IN 1781, ALSO, DEFEATED WAS THE POWER OF THE OFFICERS OF THE KING'S COURT. GEORGE WASHINGTON COMMITTED A TREASONOUS ACT TO COMPROMISE THE VALIANT HEROES LIVES OF VALLEY FORGE BY PERMITTING CORNWALLIS TO LIVE ALONG WITH "BRITISH EQUITY LAW".

8. WHEREAS, [REDACTED] SUGGESTS ALL POWER REMAINS IN ELECTED LEGISLATORS AND A PUBLIC COURT TRIBUNAL MADE UP OF TWELVE PEERS OF THE LITIGANTS. IN THE NEW UNITED STATES, THE COURT IS THE PEOPLE NOT A MONOPOLY OF BAR "BARONS".

9. WHEREAS, [REDACTED] REQUESTS AND REMINDS THE U.S. GOVERNMENT, APPELLATE POWER IS GIVEN TO THE SENATE AND THE PRESIDENT TO REVIEW ALL SUITS, CIVIL AND CRIMINAL, AS EXISTED AT THE TIME OF THE 1776 AMERICAN REVOLUTION. MR. [REDACTED] REQUESTS THE SENATE AND THE PRESIDENT EXECUTE THEIR SWORN DUTIES AND OATH TO UPHOLD THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

[REDACTED]
DEARBORN HEIGHTS, MI., 48127
[REDACTED]

Date: November 25, 1990

STATE OF MICHIGAN
OFFICE OF GOVERNOR
STATE SENATE
STATE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

1ST MOTION: REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT - JUDGE LEO K. FORAN, 20TH DISTRICT COURT, DEARBORN HEIGHTS, MICHIGAN, U.S.A.

2ND MOTION: REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT - ALL STATE JUDGES IN THE STATE OF MICHIGAN INCLUDING STATE APPEALS JUDGES AND SUPREME COURT JUDGES INCLUDING STATE ATTORNEYS AND THEIR RESEARCH LAWYERS AND STATE COURT CLERKS.

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES [REDACTED], A NATURAL BORN, PAYROLL DEDUCTION TAX PAYING CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN AND ENTITLED TO THE BENEFITS AND ETHICS AND JUSTICE OF A JUDICIAL SYSTEM COMMENSURATE WITH THE GUARANTEES OUTLINED IN THE CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, ARTICLE XI, SECTION 7 AND THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA WHICH HAVE REPLACED NATURAL LAW AND ALL JUDICATURE ACTS TO WIT:

1. LEO K. FORAN HAS TAKEN A SOLEMN OATH TO ABIDE BY THE JUDICIAL OATH AND THE OATH OF OFFICE PRESCRIBED FOR THE OFFICE HE HOLDS...

a. IT IS ALLEGED, LEO K. FORAN ALL OF HIS PROFESSIONAL LIFE HAS PAID DUES INTO AN ORGANIZATION, NO PART OF STATE GOVERNMENT, OPERATING UNDER THE TITLE, STATE BAR OF MICHIGAN...

b. IT IS ALLEGED, THE STATE BAR OF MICHIGAN, NO CORPORATION, BUT ORGANIZED AS A UNION BY CHARLATANS IS IN THE BUSINESS OF IMPRESSING A MONOPOLY IN THE LAW BUSINESS IN VIOLATION OF THE MICHIGAN STATE CONSTITUTION AND VIOLATING THE ETHICS *P.L. 96-303*. FEDERAL STATUTE INCLUDING THE UNITED STATES COMMON LAW...

c. IT IS ALLEGED, LEO K. FORAN, TO GET HIS NAME ON THE STATE JUDICIAL BALLOT FOR ELECTION TO A CIVIL OFFICE OF STATE GOVERNMENT WHICH IS DESCRIBED AS A DISTRICT JUDGE FILED AN AFFIDAVIT WITH THE MICHIGAN SECRETARY OF STATE, WHICH AFFIDAVIT IS FRAUDULENT AND A PERJURY, AND IS A CRIMINAL ACT AND A VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL STATUTE ON ETHICS... *P.L. 96-303*.

2. IT IS ALLEGED, LEO K. FORAN, DISTRICT JUDGE OF THE 20TH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CITY OF DEARBORN HEIGHTS AND FOR THE STATE OF MICHIGAN AND THAT ALL OF HIS PROFESSIONAL JUDICIAL LIFE, HE

HAS VIOLATED WITH IMPUNITY THE "ETHICS CODE FOR JUDICIAL OFFICERS" INCLUDING THE FEDERAL ETHICS ^{PL 96-383} STATUTE ALONG WITH CONFLICT OF INTERESTS FOR PERSONAL PROFIT WHICH IS IN VIOLATION OF THE MICHIGAN STATE CONSTITUTION AND THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND THE JUDICATURE ACTS OF 1787 AND 1789 WHICH PROHIBITS ADDITIONAL EMOLUMENTS FOR ANY REASON.

3. THROUGH HIS PROFESSIONAL JUDICIAL LIFE, JUDGE FORAN APPEARS TO HAVE MADE A CAREER OF SITTING IN CONFLICT OF INTEREST WHICH APPEARS TO BE A CRIMINAL VIOLATION OF LITIGANTS' BEST INTERESTS. THE CONSENSUS OF OPINION AMONG KNOWLEDGEABLE LAYMEN AND PRACTICING LAWYERS WHOSE DISCRETIONARY SILENCE GUARANTEES THEIR FUTURE INTERESTS AND SUPPORTS THEIR CONTINUED INCOME AGREE UNANIMOUSLY THAT HONORABLE LEO K. FORAN IS A DISGRACE TO THE 20TH DISTRICT COURT SYSTEM. AND, HAS DONE MORE TO UNDERMINE THE CONFIDENCE IN THE DEARBORN HEIGHTS JUDICIAL CIRCUIT THEN ANY OTHER JUDGE IN SIMILAR POSITIONS, IN OTHER CIRCUITS, WHO NO LONGER SERVE.

4. WHEN HONORABLE JAMES FORAN'S CONDUCT ON THE BENCH IS BLATANTLY IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE FOURTEEN OF THE INTERNATIONAL BILL OF HUMAN RIGHTS WHICH STATES, "ALL PERSONS SHALL BE EQUAL BEFORE THE COURTS AND TRIBUNALS. IN THE DETERMINATION OF ANY CRIMINAL CHARGE AGAINST HIM, OR OF HIS RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS IN A SUIT AT LAW, EVERYONE SHALL BE ENTITLED TO A FAIR AND PUBLIC HEARING BY A COMPETENT, INDEPENDENT AND IMPARTIAL TRIBUNAL ESTABLISHED BY LAW. IT IS ALLEGED JUDGE LEO FORAN PRESIDING OVER A COURT OF RECORD IN THE UNITED STATES AND DENIED [REDACTED] A TRIAL AND DUE PROCESS WHILE THE DISTRICT COURT ENGAGES IN ALLOWING THE "CHARLATAN BAR MEMBERS" TO TAKE PROPERTY, HOUSES AND PERSONALTY AWAY FROM CITIZENS WITHOUT FAULT. THE LAW OF ESTOPPEL AMONG OTHER REASONS PROHIBITS JUDGE FORAN FROM DENYING MR. [REDACTED] A CIVIL RIGHTS ACTION SUIT TO PETITION GOVERNMENT FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES.

5. IT IS ALLEGED, HON. LEO K. FORAN, IS IN VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS RIGHTS, WHICH IS TO SECURE THE INDIVIDUAL FROM THE ARBITRARY EXERCISE OF THE POWERS OF GOVERNMENT, UNRESTRAINED BY THE ESTABLISHED PRINCIPLE OF PRIVATE RIGHT AND DISTRIBUTIVE JUSTICE.

6. IT IS ALLEGED, HON. LEO K. FORAN AND HIS PREDECESSOR FORMER JUDGE DUANE DUNICK, ARE ALLOWING THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, TO "BARGAIN AWAY THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE PUBLIC MORALS WHICH IS A VIOLATION OF SUPREME COURT JUSTICE TANEY'S, 1840, PRINCIPLE OF SEPARATING CORPORATE LAW FROM INDIVIDUALS RIGHTS UNDER CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.

7. IT IS ALLEGED, HON. LEO K. FORAN AND HIS PREDECESSOR FORMER JUDGE DUANE DUNICK, ARE ALLOWING THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, TO IMPAIR THE OBLIGATION OF PRIVATE CONTRACTS AND ALLOWING THE STATE OF MICHIGAN TO PASS EX POST FACTO LAWS, NAMELY THE 1971 DIVORCE AND CHILD CUSTODY LAWS.

8. IT IS ALLEGED, HON. LEO K. FORAN AND HIS PREDECESSOR FORMER JUDGE DUANE DUNICK, BY THEIR ACTIONS TO SUPPORT A CONSPIRACY TO DESTROY DOMESTIC INSTITUTIONS WITHIN A STATE, ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT'S SOLE PURPOSE OF EXISTENCE, THAT OF COMMON AGENT FOR THE COMMON GOOD OF ALL CITIZENS; NOT JUST THE FEMALE GENDER, OR LAWYERS WHO IMPEACH CITIZENS UNDER THE COLOR OF THE LAW.

9. IT IS ALLEGED, HON. LEO K. FORAN AND HIS PREDECESSOR FORMER JUDGE DUANE DUNICK, BY THEIR REFUSAL TO DEFEND INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS HAVE DESTROYED THE FOUNDING FATHERS' SOCIAL COMPACT AND ARE INCITING LAW ABIDING CITIZENS TO REVOLT WITH MASS DIS-OBEDIENCE.

10. IT IS ALLEGED, HON. LEO K. FORAN, IS IN VIOLATION AND ACTING IN OPPOSITION TO THE SIXTH, SEVENTH AND EIGHTH AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

11. GIVING JUDGE LEO K. FORAN'S AND HIS PREDECESSOR FORMER JUDGE DUANE DUNICK PRE-OCCUPATION WITH CULTIVATING THE GOOD OFFICES OF THE STATE BAR OF MICHIGAN UNION MEMBERS, THEY PANDER TO THE STATE BAR UNION OF CHARLATANS AND UN-CONVICTED FELONS, CALLING THEMSELVES STATE ATTORNEYS, TO THE DETRIMENT OF LAY LITIGANTS AND THIS PRACTICE IS TO THE ALMOST COMPLETE EXCLUSION OF LAY LITIGANTS GUARANTEED RIGHTS TO PETITION GOVERNMENT FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES.¹

12. IT IS ALLEGED MANY OTHER CONFLICT OF INTERESTS TOOK PLACE RES IPSA LOQUITUR AND ULTRA VIRES.

13. IT IS ALLEGED, ALL THE OFFICERS OF THE COURT OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN AND THE UNITED STATES WHICH INCLUDE JUDGES, GOVERNMENT ATTORNEYS AND COURT ADMINISTRATORS AND RESEARCH LAWYERS AND THE GOVERNMENT IMPEACHMENT COMMITTEES ARE ALL AWARE OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST VIOLATIONS OF THE MICHIGAN STATE CONSTITUTION AND THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION OF LEO K. FORAN AND HIS PREDECESSOR FORMER JUDGE DUANE DUNICK AND THE MAJORITY OF THE STATE AND FEDERAL JUDGES IN THE UNITED STATES. FOR A STATE AND FEDERAL JUDGE TO SIT ON A HOSPITAL BOARD AS MANY OF THEM DO, AND HAVE OTHER PRIVATE BUSINESS INTERESTS, MUST BE DECLARED A CONFLICT OF INTEREST, *ALSO A VIOLATION OF P.L. 96-303, JULY 3, 1980.*

BRIEF IN SUPPORT

1. IN MUNN V. ILLINOIS, 94 U.S. 113 (1877), THE 14TH AMENDMENT PROHIBITS DEPRIVING A PERSON OF HIS PROPERTY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS.

2. IN STONE V. MISSISSIPPI, 101 U.S. 814, 819 (1880), THE COURTS HAVE PROHIBITED AND LIMITED THE POWERS TO THE STATES AND DENYING

¹ "A SELF POLICING PROFESSION IS A CONSPIRACY AGAINST THE LAITY", GEORGE BERNARD SHAW.

THAT A LEGISLATURE CAN BARGAIN AWAY THE PUBLIC HEALTH OR THE PUBLIC MORALS (THE SANCTITY OF MARRIAGE AND FAMILY LIFE).

3. IN DARTMOUTH COLLEGE V. WOODWARD, 4 WHEAT. 518 (1819), IF APPLIED AND SO RIGOROUSLY ENFORCED, STATES WOULD BE BEREFT OF POWERS ESSENTIAL FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF CORPORATIONS.

4. CHIEF JUSTICE TANEY, 1840, FROM THE VERY BEGINNING OF GOVERNMENT, STATE SOVEREIGNTY HAD FOUND NO SHADOW OF RECOGNITION IN THE JUDICIAL COUNCILS OF THE NATION.

5. IN THE SENATE RESOLUTION INTRODUCED IN 1837 BY SENATOR CALHOUN, 3RD RESOLUTION, THE GOVERNMENT WAS INSTITUTED BY THE SEVERAL STATES AS A COMMON AGENT, AND IT IS BOUND SO TO EXERCISE ITS POWERS AS TO GIVE INCREASED STABILITY TO THE DOMESTIC INSTITUTIONS (CHRISTIAN MARRIAGE) OF THE STATES.

6. IN LOAN ASSOCIATION V. TOPEKA, 20 WALLACE 655, 663. THE WORD "RESERVATIONS" DESERVES SPECIAL ATTENTION. IT UN-QUESTIONABLE IMPLIES THE EXISTENCE OF INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS BEFORE GOVERNMENTS WERE ESTABLISHED, AND IT IS SIMILAR TO, IF NOT IDENTICAL WITH, THE THEORY OF THE BODY OF NATURAL RIGHTS UNDER NATURAL LAW AND ANTERIOR TO THE CONSTITUTION OF SOCIAL ORDER.

7. THE PETITIONER RESERVES ALL OF HIS AFFIRMATIVE RIGHTS TO PURSUE JUSTICE AND RELIEF INCLUDING APPEALS TO THE UNITED NATIONS AND THE WORLD COURT.

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND STATEMENT OF CASE

SUMMARY OF CLAIMS AGAINST THE INDIVIDUALS AND

AGAINST THE "ALLEGED STATE OF MICHIGAN

(WITHOUT BOUNDARIES DEFINED IN THE 1963 STATE CONSTITUTION)

1. ALL INDIVIDUALS, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, ARE OPERATING IN A VACUUM, ABSENT ALL AUTHORITY AND SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION, SINCE JANUARY 1, 1964.

2. NO LEGISLATURE EVER CONVENED UNDER THE AFORESAID OR ANY OTHER STATE CONSTITUTION, HAS EVER ENACTED A LAWYER LICENSING LAW BY WHICH, "JUDGES OF COURTS OF RECORD", COULD HAVE QUALIFIED UNDER ARTICLE SIX, SECTION 19, OF THE CONSTITUTION ADOPTED BY THE PEOPLE TO THE STATE OF MICHIGAN AND WHICH ALLEGEDLY BECAME EFFECTIVE AND OPERATIVE ON JANUARY 1, 1964.

3. THE PETITIONER AVERS, AT NO TIME, WAS THERE A WRITTEN OR VERBAL STIPULATION TO A TRIAL BY JUDGE OR COURT, INCLUDING THE COURT OF APPEALS AND ANY FEDERAL LOWER COURT.

4. THE INDIVIDUALS NAMED IN THIS SUIT AND THE "ALLEGED STATE OF MICHIGAN" ARE ALLEGED TO HAVE DENIED BY DERELICTION, OMISSION,

COVERT AND OVERT ACTIONS, THE PETITIONER, A TRIAL BY JURY WHICH IS A VIOLATION OF THE SIXTH AND SEVENTH AMENDMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION; CASE LAW, STATE LAW, STATE BAR UN-WRITTEN RULES OF CONDUCT, EX-POST FACTO LAWS, NOTWITHSTANDING.

5. AFTER JANUARY 1, 1964, MICHIGAN HAD NO VALID AND LAWFUL SYSTEM PRESIDED OVER BY JUDGES WHO WERE CONSTITUTIONALLY QUALIFIED. THIS FACT DEFEATED ESTABLISHMENT OF A CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNMENT. THE INDIVIDUALS AFORESAID AND THE STATE OF MICHIGAN IS IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE IV, SECTION FOUR OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

6. THE PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT COMPLAINS IN THE ABSENCE OF THREE BRANCHES OF GOVERNMENT, THERE EXISTS IN MICHIGAN, A STATE OF ANARCHY. ² THIS AFORESAID ANARCHY HAS EXISTED IN MICHIGAN SINCE JANUARY 1, 1964.

7. WHILE THIS STATE OF ANARCHY EXISTED, THE ALLEGED LEGISLATURE ENACTED WHAT IS POPULARLY CALLED, A NO-FAULT DIVORCE LAW WHICH IS VOID FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION IN ANY LEGISLATURE OPERATING IN A VACUUM, TO ENACT.

8. THE ALLEGED JUDGE LEO K. FORAN AND HIS PREDECESSOR FORMER JUDGE DUANE DUNICK, AND OTHER JUDGES WITHIN THE UNKNOWN PARTIES DESIGNATION, AND THE NAMED AND UN-NAMED ATTORNEYS WERE CERTIFIED TO PRACTICE BY THE STATE BAR OF CHARLATANS AFTER HAVING BEEN FIRST ADMITTED BY THE QUASI MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT; ACTING JOINTLY AS A GOVERNMENT AGENCY, DE FACTO, AND ABSENT ALL JURISDICTION AND IN VIOLATION OF KELLER V. STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, 58 LW 4661, JUNE 4, 1990; ³ AND, THEY ARE NOT "LICENSED TO PRACTICE" LAW WHICH IS MANDATED BY THE MICHIGAN CONSTITUTION, *ALSO A VIOLATION OF P.L. 96-303.*

9. IF THE PEOPLE OF MICHIGAN WHO FRAMED AND VOTED FOR THE 1963 MICHIGAN CONSTITUTION WANTED THE LANGUAGE "ADMITTED TO PRACTICE", THEY WOULD HAVE WRITTEN IT PER SE. THERE IS NO STATE GOVERNMENT

² ANARCHY. "Absence of government; state of society where there is no law or supreme power; lawlessness or political disorder; destructive of and confusion in government. At its best it pertains to a society made orderly by good manners rather than law, in which each person produces according to his powers and receives according to his needs, and at its worst, the word pertains to a terroristic resistance of all present government and social order." Black's Law Dictionary.

³ "The State Supreme Court's determination that the State Bar is a 'Government Agency' for the purpose of State Law, de facto, is not binding on this Court when such a determination is essential to the decision of a Federal Question. The State Bar was created not to participate in the general government of the State, but to provide specialized professional advice to those with the ultimate responsibility of governing the legal profession."

LICENSING DEPARTMENT FOR ATTORNEYS MAKING ALL LAW PRACTITIONERS IN THE STATE OF MICHIGAN UN-CONVICTED FELONS (FRAUDULENTLY MIS-REPRESENTING THEMSELVES, A FELONY). THIS IS A FEDERAL QUESTION FOR THE REASON THAT ALL STATES ARE PURPORTED TO BE IN COMITY WHICH WOULD HAVE MADE THE ENTIRE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO BE IN VIOLATION OF OUR GUARANTEED REPUBLICAN FORM OF GOVERNMENT, *ALSO A VIOLATION OF P.L. 96-303.*

10. THE PETITIONER ALLEGES, LEO K. FORAN, FRANK KELLEY, AND ALL OTHER NAMED AND UN-NAMED ALLEGED JUDGES AND ATTORNEYS HAVE YET TO FILE AND SUPPLY A COPY OF THEIR "STATE ISSUED LICENSES TO PRACTICE LAW" WITH THE CLERKS OF THE COURT, BOTH IN THE LOWER COURT AND THE APPEALS COURT AND THE MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT.

11. THE PETITIONER ALLEGES, ALTHOUGH HE DID NOT COMMIT ANY CRIMINAL WRONG, THE AFORESAID ALLEGED DEFENDANTS CONSPIRED AND ARE CONSPIRING TO DENY THE PETITIONER HIS RIGHT TO PETITION GOVERNMENT FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCE, TO STEAL HIS PROPERTY, TO STEAL HIS LIBERTY, TO DAMAGE HIS BUSINESS LIFE AND DAMAGE HIS PRIVATE LIFE, BY ENGAGING IN "BRITISH STYLE" EQUITY COURTS CONTRARY TO JAMES MADISON'S BILL OF RIGHTS; ALSO, A NO-FAULT DIVORCE SETTLEMENT, TO THE DETRIMENT OF MICHIGAN STATE LAW AND U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL LAW SOLELY FOR THE PERSONAL GAIN OF THE CHARLATANS ASSOCIATED AND MEMBERS OF THE CONSPIRACY IDENTIFIED AS THE STATE BAR.

12. IT IS ALLEGED, THE DENIAL OF A JURY TRIAL AND PROCEEDING UNDER COURT RULE DOES NOT GIVE SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION NOR POWER TO ANY JUDGE NOR STATE CONSTITUTION TO DEFEAT THE SEVENTH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION IN CASES OF EQUITY.

13. IMMUNITY. ANY AND ALL INDIVIDUALS, WHETHER COGNIZANT OR NOT OF CONSTITUTIONAL LAW, LOSE THEIR GOVERNMENTAL STATUS UPON BREAKING OF THE LAW AND ARE UN-CONVICTED FELONS. UN-CONVICTED FELONS ARE NOT ENTITLED TO IMMUNITY.

14. IMMUNITY. WHEN THE STATES FORMED A COMPACT FOR THE COMMON GOOD OF THE FEDERAL NATION; THE STATES SURRENDERED THEIR SOVEREIGNTY AND IMMUNITY FROM UN-LAWFUL CONDUCT OF ITS OFFICERS OF GOVERNMENT. POWER ONLY RESTS WITH GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS TO ACT BEYOND NATURAL LAW AND STRICTLY FOR THE INCREASE OF THE COMMON GOOD WITHIN COMMON LAW AND INTERNATIONAL COMITY. THE VATICAN IN ROME, ITALY DOES NOT APPROVE OF THE MICHIGAN STATE 1971 NO-FAULT DIVORCE AND CHILD CUSTODY ACTS.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

1. WHEREAS, [REDACTED] REQUESTS A THOROUGH INVESTIGATION.

2. WHEREAS, ALL (BAR) LICENSES ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT, EQUAL TREATMENT OF THE LAW FOR ALL CITIZENS AND ALL LAW MUST BE STRUCTURED TO REQUIRE THE OUTCOMES TO BE IN

THE "PUBLIC'S BEST INTERESTS." UN-CONTROLLED BRIBES OF EVERY SORT ABOUND IN EVERY COURT OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DUE TO THE FACT, THE GOVERNMENT LEGISLATORS HAVE BEEN GROSSLY NEGLIGENT TO SECURE AND ACHIEVE THE BILL OF RIGHTS, *ALSO A VIOLATION OF P.L. 96-303.*

3. WHEREAS, [REDACTED] REQUESTS AND REMINDS THAT THE SEVENTH AMENDMENT LIMITS INDIVIDUAL JUDGES' JURISDICTION TO \$20, WHETHER IN A MUNICIPAL CITY COURT OR IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT.

4. WHEREAS, [REDACTED] SUGGESTS TO THE GOVERNOR AND THE STATE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVE AND THE U.S. PRESIDENT AND THE U.S. SENATE IMPEACHMENT COMMITTEES THAT A "SECRET GOVERNMENT OF CHARLATANS EXISTS BEHIND THE SCENES IN THE UNITED STATES, UN-CONSTITUTIONALLY CONTROLLING OR MANIPULATING THE POLICIES AND ACTIONS OF ELECTED OFFICIALS. MR. [REDACTED] REQUESTS, IT IS TIME TO OVERTURN MARBURY VS MADISON, WHEREBY CHIEF JUSTICE JOHN MARSHALL TOLD JOHN MADISON TO TAKE HIS "BILL OF RIGHTS" AND "HIS VERSION OF THE COMMON LAW" AND GO BAG IT BECAUSE THE INTERESTS OF THE "KINGS' MEN AND THE KINGS' COURT ARE GOING TO PREVAIL HERE TO THE DETRIMENT OF THE PUBLIC INTEREST IN THE NEW LAND IN SPITE OF THE FACT, THAT WHEN GENERAL CORNWALLIS OF THE ENGLISH ARMY WAS DEFEATED IN 1781, ALSO, WAS DEFEATED WAS THE POWER OF THE OFFICERS OF THE KING'S COURT.

5. WHEREAS, [REDACTED] SUGGESTS ALL POWER REMAINS IN ELECTED LEGISLATORS IN A FREE AND OPEN ELECTION WHICH IS NOT TAKING PLACE IN THE STATE OF MICHIGAN NOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND A PUBLIC COURT TRIBUNAL MADE UP OF TWELVE PEERS OF THE LITIGANTS. IN THE NEW UNITED STATES, THE COURT IS THE PEOPLE NOT A MONOPOLY OF BAR "BARONS".

6. WHEREAS, [REDACTED] REQUESTS, APPELLATE POWER BE GIVEN TO THE STATE GOVERNOR, AND THE STATE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES TO REVIEW ALL SUITS, CIVIL AND CRIMINAL, AS EXISTED AT THE TIME OF THE 1776 AMERICAN REVOLUTION.

7. WHEREAS, [REDACTED] REQUESTS THE IMPEACHMENT OF LEO K. FORAN, AND ALL STATE JUDGES INCLUDING RESTITUTION FOR ALL SALARIES RECEIVED UNDER FALSE PRETENSES INCLUDING PROHIBITING ANY PENSION FOR THE AFOREMENTIONED FOR THE AFOREMENTIONED ISSUES OF LAW.

8. THE SIXTH AMENDMENT, U.S. CONSTITUTION, AFFORDS THE INJURED PARTY, THE RIGHT TO A SPEEDY AND "IMPARTIAL PUBLIC TRIAL WITH JURY" WHICH WAS DENIED AND WHICH IS HEREBY REQUESTED, *PLUS VIOLATING P.L. 96-303.*

9. THE SIXTH AMENDMENT, IS ABSENT ALL AUTHORITY FOR FEDERAL AND STATE BAR ASSOCIATIONS AND PROHIBITS THEIR MEMBERS THE ENTITLEMENT OF "OFFICERS OF THE COURT" WHICH DEPRIVE THE INJURED PARTY, AND OTHER CITIZENS SIMILARLY SITUATED, OF ALL IMPARTIALITY IN TRIALS (APPEALS) WITHOUT A JURY. THE PETITIONER REQUESTS AN IMPARTIAL TRIAL WITH A JURY OF MY PEERS, *IN ACCORDANCE WITH P.L. 96-303,*

10. WHEREAS, THIS SUIT REFLECTS AN ACTION OF A CITIZEN AGAINST INDIVIDUALS (UN-CONVICTED FELONS) AND AGAINST A STATE WHICH RESIDES IN ANARCHY, *A VIOLATION OF P.L. 96-303.*

11. WHEREAS, THE PETITIONER, REQUESTS A RULING IN HIS FAVOR TO REMAND THIS CASE BACK TO THE LOWER COURT AND ORDER A JURY TRIAL.

12. IN THE INTERIM DELAY OF DUE PROCESS, THE PETITIONER REQUESTS A WRIT OF ATTACHMENT AGAINST THE TREASURER OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN FOR THE DAMAGES REQUESTED, \$10,000,000 (MILLION DOLLARS), IN REPARATION FOR THE IR-REPARABLE DAMAGES CAUSED UPON AND MADE PAYABLE TO THE PETITIONER.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,



DEARBORN HEIGHTS, MI., 48127

DATE: DECEMBER 13, 1990