

24 April 93

C-span
Washington D.C.

Today I saw an interview with
whats-his-face Eugene Baker
conducted by almost-no-name
Brian Lamb. During the inter-
view Lamb made a big deal
of a newspaper article relating
how Sally Quinn had invited a
caller (from Pennsylvania) to
dinner at the Bradley house
during a call-in session (also
conducted by almost-no-name
Lamb) on Mon, 19 April.

I think Lamb and the news-
paper just re-emphasized the point
that the caller was trying to make:
that the Washington "progs" "groupies"
think they are about laborers just
plain ordinary Americans and
really don't have much in common
with mainstream America.

If John Doe from Washington
had invited the PA caller to
dinner it would not have been
an "item", but if Ms Quinn
does it (to show how "average"
she is) then story makes the
rounds again and again!


If Bradley & Quinn are not
in such a "select group", why is
Lamb continually bringing it up
(the dinner invite)? If Bradley

and Quinn are just "ordinary" people, just like the guy from PIT, what's so unusual about being invited to dinner? Ramp seems to think there is something "special" in this incident or he couldn't belabor the point.

Also I would like to know why C-span has not carried Perot's testimony before Congress on AFTA??? I believe he has testified twice and I didn't see a thing about it, much less televised testimony. Why? This certainly has the look of a shut-out from the supposedly non-partisan, independent C-span. Didn't Perot testify the last week of March and again this past week (19-23 April).

C-span seems to broadcast Ronald Reagan's testimonial for Brian Mulroney (why - for godssakes?) but not Perot's views before Congress on AFTA.

How biased can you get - you tell me?



Chattanooga TN
37405

P.S. Don't send
a form letter -
thank you