

[REDACTED] New York, N.Y. 10023 [REDACTED]

Brian Lamb
C-Span
Suite 412
444 North Capitol Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20001

20 November 1996

Dear Brian:

Assiduous 15-year viewer; great admirer;
never a caller.

Fully support your separation of calls --
Republican, Democrat, others.

The critics seem to think their rights are being
abrogated. There are no rights here. We are favoured by your
presence and your service. We enjoy a privilege, not a right.

If the aim is to offer a good representation of
the nation's views, any step to prevent distortion is sensible.
If one group - by age, leisure time, wealth or technical
facility - is demonstrably dominant, it does no harm to
try to level the playing-field.

For several months it would have appeared, to
judge from your call-in programmes, that the nation was
overwhelmingly - and often virulently - anti-Clinton and
anti-Democrat. (There were disturbing echoes of right-wing
radio, even suspicions of orchestration.) The election
results showed otherwise. The nation is about evenly divided
in its support for the major parties - both for President and
for Congress.

Your policy of 'separation of calls' to achieve
more equitable representation of views is thus vindicated.
What we now hear is more closely in accord with where the
nation is.

It also makes for better viewing. Please
stick with the policy.

Good luck,
[REDACTED]

P.S. This note is not intended for use on air, but feel
free to do so if you wish.

O.W.) Split

[REDACTED] New York, N.Y. 10023 [REDACTED]

Brian Lamb
C-Span
Suite 412
444 North Capitol Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20001

20 November 1996

Dear Brian:

Assiduous 15-year viewer; great admirer;
never a caller.

Fully support your separation of calls --
Republican, Democrat, others.

The critics seem to think their rights are being
abrogated. There are no rights here. We are favoured by your
presence and your service. We enjoy a privilege, not a right.

If the aim is to offer a good representation of
the nation's views, any step to prevent distortion is sensible.
If one group- by age, leisure time, wealth or technical
facility - is demonstrably dominant, it does no harm to
try to level the playing-field.

For several months it would have appeared, to
judge from your call-in programmes, that the nation was
overwhelmingly - and often virulently - anti-Clinton and
anti-Democrat. (There were disturbing echoes of right-wing
radio, even suspicions of orchestration.) The election
results showed otherwise. The nation is about evenly divided
in its support for the major parties - both for President and
for Congress.

Your policy of 'separation of calls' to achieve
more equitable representation of views is thus vindicated.
What we now hear is more closely in accord with where the
nation is.

It also makes for better viewing. Please
stick with the policy.

Good luck,
[REDACTED]

P.S. This note is not intended for use on air, but feel
free to do so if you wish.