

004656 OCT 24 91

FROM THE DESK OF [REDACTED] N4KVV

[REDACTED]
NO. MIAMI BEACH FLA, 33162

Tel. [REDACTED]

OCT 15, 1991

Mr. Brian Lamb
c/o C-Span
650 Capital St.N.W.
Washington, D.C.

Dear Brian;

The most amazing thing to me is the total lack of sophistication displayed by the Senate Judiciary committee in the questioning of both Prof. Hill and Judge Thomas. everyone seemed to throw up their hands in frustration in trying to arrive at a motive for Ms. Hill's testimony. From the meager information the committee was able to extract, there is only one theory that ties it all together. It seems they were both telling the truth but, not the WHOLE truth.

Allow me to postulate my theory as to what the facts really were.

They both were engaged in an illicit sexual affair and took careful pains to see that nobody in the office would generate any suspicions. Their behaviour in the office was held to the strictest business practices. Their frequent business trips together served as a very useful cover for the trysts they engaged in over the years. Remember, they were both young, members of the modern sex-crazy generation with rampaging hormones spurring them on to their copulation in illicit ecstasy. So far they have done no more and no less than millions of others of their generation. After all they were two mature consenting adults and were engaging in a practice overwhelmingly common to people of their generation.

To the Judge the affair was nothing but another temporary office conquest but to Ms. Hill who according to testimony reveled in mingling with the powerful, the affair took on more a more serious vein. She started to believe that she had a proprietary claim upon his affections without any expression as such by the Judge. This situation was exactly what the Judge wanted until he met his present wife and that ended the affair as far as he was concerned. Let me insert at this point that the pornographic incidents testified to were probably part of the foreplay before copulation at the various hotels and motels with their advertised porno movies.

Getting back to the Committee questioning, the Judge early on announced that he would not answer any questions about his private and/or sex life, and that statement inhibited the Comm. from delving into the possibilities of the "scorned woman" theories. Although the Judge was single at the time these events took place he could not very well admit to the facts without bringing some kind of disgrace to his family and to Ms.Hill therefore he chose the gentlemanly way out by refusing to testify about any charged sexual matters. As for Prof. Hill, when she learned in that last phone call that the Judge had married someone else

especially a white woman she probably started seething inside feeling that she was used and betrayed and psychologically determined to hurt the Judge where it would hurt him most ie: his career. However she could not come forward without incriminating herself until the Senate staff surfaced with the offer of Senate immunity and invisibility.

Seeing her chance for getting revenge without revealing her identity she warily decided to go ahead and realizing that the Judge could not and probably would not make public their affair. She realized it was a no-win situation for the Judge and being an extremely intelligent attorney decided to go ahead with the porno revelations knowing that even if the Judge denied it, it would create doubt about his character and her revenge would be complete.

Now the question remains, if these facts were revealed as I postulated them would they be sufficient to deny a seat on the Court? I think not, the private lives of any public figures are their own business and nobody has a right to judge someone because of some event in their private lives, unless a crime was committed and as far as I can see, copulation between consenting adults is no crime.

Well Brian, now that I have exercised my Agatha Christie personality and solved the dilemma for the people who could not find who was credible I will rest on my laurels and say that I feel sure I am nearly 100% correct because this theory seems to tie all the known revealed facts together in a semblance of order.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

av

[REDACTED]
NO Miami Beach Fla
33162

Mr Brian Lomb
c/o C-Span
650 Capitol St N.W.
Washington
D.C.

