JAN 24 AFT: 10 Jan 13, 1990 336-90 FEB 12 ANSD Douglasville, Ga 30135 Dear Mr. Lamb; Im writing you because I think you're the only one who won't throw my letter in a wastepaper basket. In enclosing an article that I feel strongly about. I am ashamed of our corrupt democracy, where money, vistead of morals, runs our government. I don't think our government is much better than the notorious history of Italian governments, that are a tangled mass of corrugation + injustice. I believe it migrated to America! In not the wisest person but I'd like

to offer a suggestion that could very helpful to Americans.

Why can't every representative be required to answer a guestionnaire about issues? There could be a list of issues with yes + no answers? Then following pages (with corresponding numbers) could explain reasons why a representative It takes a certain stand. These questionnaires could be picked up at a local courthouse, or someone could mail out the question maires to homes when people call + request them.

form !!! Everyone would know where their

representatives stand + make an informed vote on who they want elected! What could possibly be more honest & forthright? If a conidate has too many negatives with a certain voter, he elects another considate, Or if a certain voter has a very strong opinion about a certain issue, then he selects someone that represents him. The voter can weigh in his own mind if certain issues are weighteir than others, + make an informed decision. I don't think there's a great need to rattle off a list of oredentials to impress people on how a candate will perform. All that needs

to be done is to have a series of round table discussions between several canidates! People Love it! They like talk shows, don't they? Why people couldn't wait to tune in to the drama of politicans using for favor!!! The thing I hated so much during the last election was the dry, tense, interrogation of Bush + Dukakis! How degrading to gill the next president of the W.S! Like he is on a guiz show earning points! I don't feel too bad not being a wise person, because Im not an educated fool who knows EVERYTHING about what people

But I do have a practical knowledge that comes from everyday living. How could anyone really enjoy grilling presidential canidates except the gillers", or someone who's a little bit sadistic?? Does pressure really show off a canidates attributes? I think it is quete the opposite. It is not real life. Ceny ordenary person would know that you can best judge a considere by how he mades enteracts with other people, after all, that is the reality of what the presidents job is! Hease give America the chance to judge se conidates, just as they would judge a neighbor, friend,

or collegue. By simply, unteracting with others, + putting their views in black + white. This kind of honesty, friendliness, and tact in politici would be like a breaths of fresh air & a refreshing drink of spring water. It would be democracy you could cheer about! Sincerely

David S. Broder

Reconnecting politics and government in America

WASHINGTON — A word of warning to regular readers of this column. There's a subject on which I intend to become a crank.

No one can go to Eastern Europe in this season of its liberation, as I have just done, and come home uninspired by the power of democracy as a universal ideal. It would be grotesque, at such a moment, to watch without protest the strangulation or distortion of democracy in the United States — which symbolizes successful and sustained self-government to so much of the world.

Rather, as 1989 was a year of victory for freedom in the world, each of us must do what we can to assure that 1990 is a year of renewal for democracy here at home. It is time for those of us in the world's freest press to become activists, not on behalf of a particular party or politician, but on behalf of the process of self-government. It is time to expose the threats to that process and support the efforts to get rid of them.

We cannot allow the 1990 elections to be another exercise in public disillusionment and political cynicism. Three elements are crucial — and in each, the press has a significant role to play.

The first is improving the quality of candidates and encouraging the spread of political competition. It is already late to be recruiting for the 1990 contest, but not too late for the parties to ascertain — and news organizations to publicize — where gaps exist on the 1990 ballots. Every one of those gaps that can be filled by able people before the filing deadline is a victory for democracy.

As journalists, we need to acknowledge that our vigor in exposing politicians' shortcomings has made many talented men and women wary of seeking and holding public office. We should not relax our vigilance, but We cannot allow the 1990 elections to be another exercise in public disillusionment.

we should be sure that we balance the books by saluting those who strengthen the nation by serving in elective office — or simply by challenging the current officeholders vigorously enough at election time that they are forced to explain and defend their records.

Second, we have to help bring the financing of campaigns back into the hands of the people who are represented, rather than letting it continue to be controlled and manipulated by those seeking special treatment from the government. We do not have to wait for another scandal to realize that the purchase of access to elected officials through large-scale fund-raising endangers representative government and breeds justifiable public distrust.

One way of getting campaign finance back into the hands of the people is through a tax-form checkoff system, with reasonable limits on campaign spending, as we already do in presidential campaigns. Another is to require that candidates raise their funds, in small sums, in the jurisdictions they represent. A third is to challenge and empower political parties to raise the money their nominees need.

The best mix of methods is debatable. But the need to clean up the present system's corruption is so clear to so many of the politicians themselves that this must be the year for action.

Finally, the campaign dialogue must be rescued from the electronic demagoguery fa-

vored by too many hired-gun political consultants. Campaigning must be reconnected to governmental decisions voters really care about.

The public is sick and tired of being assaulted for weeks before Election Day with horrifying recitals of the opposing candidate's supposed record on some issue — which magically disappears once votes have been counted. That's fine for the consultants, who collect their fees and go off to another campaign in another state. But voters are cheated of their right to hear their own concerns discussed and have their own views registered.

This is the issue on which the press must take primary responsibility. Consultants and their clients plainly are not going to clean up their own act as long as the political market-place rewards such tactics with success. Legal restrictions are anathema to those of us who fear governmental regulation of political speech. So the press will have to step forward to police the campaign process, very much as we try to catch cheating and chicanery in government.

That means that we must be far more assertive than in the past on the public's right to hear its concerns discussed by the candidates — in ads, debates and speeches — and far more conscientious in reporting those discussions when they take place.

We have to help reconnect politics and government — what happens in the campaign and what happens afterward in public policy — if we are going to have accountability by our elected officials and genuine democracy in this country.

In the world of 1990, anything less is unacceptable.—(c1990.)

Accu-Chek®II/II m







Mail-In \$300

You Pay \$12

Good thru 4-30-90

1-90

DA

Diabetes Association of Atlanta, Inc.