

Mr. Emmett Tyrell, Editor
The American Spectator
2020 N. 14th Street
Arlington, VA 22201

*See Page 2 for
Limbaugh and
Republicans*

Sunday, August 1, 1993

00-594 AUG 10 93

Dear Mr. Tyrell:

SUBJECT: C-Span July 31 Broadcast - American Spectator Editorial Board Meeting
Messrs: Tyrell, Pleszczynski, Caldwell, Wattenburg, Brock, et.al

I managed to jot down a few of the participant's names attending the above meeting - but not sure about Wladyslav's surname. (Got your phone number from my National Directory, and correct address from your office.)

I wish this broadcast had been a call-in show because I would have had a lot to say in rebuttal. I had to leave for an appointment at 11:10 a.m. (Midwest time) so don't know if I missed anything earth-shattering. What I did hear were some pretty hard-nosed, insulting remarks directed against Democrats and Liberals. Surprise! Surprise!

SO-CALLED LIBERAL MEDIA

If the news media are liberal, why have national outlets been tougher in scrutinizing Presidents Carter and Clinton than Republicans Reagan and Bush?

If the news media are liberal, why are they owned and sponsored by big corporations that spend millions of dollars against liberal measures in Washington?

THE REAL ANITA HILL - David Brock

So Anita Hill lied through the whole Senate Judiciary Committee on the Clarence Thomas hearings for the Supreme Court! To believe this, you have to believe that not only did she perjure herself, but so did all her other witnesses, along with the complicity of their attorneys.

Brock also maintains that Hill was the dupe of Catherine MacKinnon. In an interview with a reporter, MacKinnon stated she had never met with Anita Hill, talked with her on the phone, or corresponded with her in any way.

I understand that Charles Ogletree, one of Anita Hill's attorneys, and a professor at Harvard Law School, is preparing a point-by-point refutation of Brock's innumerable omissions, errors, and misquotations. It will be interesting to read another point of view.

JAY LENO - WOULD BE PUNDIT (SELF-PROFESSED AUTHORITY)

You mentioned Leno's name and thought he would welcome some of your material. That figures, because Leno has done nothing but lambast Clinton since taking over The Tonight Show. He has become tasteless and unfunny. President Clinton has had more political bashing than any other president in history, and Leno does it night after night - ad nauseam.

The July 17 issue of TV Guide stated that Leno & Letterman had skewered President Clinton 391 times, against Johnny Carson & Letterman's 60 cracks against George Bush. (All this bashing in approximately 6 months that Clinton has been in office.) Let's face it, Leno wouldn't have anything to say in his monologues without Clinton to bash.

- continued -

You lauded this megalomaniac almost like "THE SECOND COMING." Conservatives will fall over themselves calling Liberals every name in the book, but let someone kick it back in like manner and they just can't take it. This was recently presented in heretofore unheard of hypocrisy when Mr. Limbaugh went livid when the President inferred he was a racist at a dinner speech.

Remember, Rush Limbaugh has spent most of three hours a day, everyday, for most of a year doing nothing but inferring treason, liar, coward, communist, homesexual, traitor, lesbian, Nazi, and physical ugliness upon the President and his family. So, for Rush to whine and snivel about one DESERVED hit back at him is the epitome of hypocrisy. As I said, conservatives can dish it out but can't take it!

REPUBLICAN PARTY - DOUBLE TALKERS

More hypocrisy from the Republicans comes from Senator Bob Dole. In 1990, campaigning for Bush's plan to cut the deficit by \$500 billion, Dole lost patience with senators criticizing the plan's gas hike, agriculture cuts, and medicare savings. (Dole supported a 9.5 cents gas tax hike, more than double Clinton's package.)

Dole said, "Some of us want to reduce the deficit, but do not touch Social Security, do not raise taxes, do not touch Medicare, do not touch agriculture. I have heard all these speeches and they are great. But they don't reduce the deficit."

Now, in this year's deficit debate, Dole opposes the new taxes in Clinton's plan. He is a partisan hypocrite, willing to support Bush's deficit reduction plan but not Clintons, because the taxes fall heavily on the rich and because he is thinking of running for president in 1996. Commenting on Dole's 1990 speech, Leon Panetta said, "What Dole stated then, is also true today."

THE AMERICAN SPECTATOR

From the views I heard expressed by your panel on C-Span, I would have to conclude your magazine is an extremely mean-spirited publication.

A Midwest Listener

cc: President Clinton, 1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, Washington, D.C. 20500-0001
Brian Lamb, C-Span Network, 400 Capitol St - Suite #650, Washington DC 20001-1511
Jay Leno, NBC Studios, 3000 W. Alameda Avenue, Burbank, CA 91523-0001
Rush Limbaugh, EFM Media Management, 2 Pennsylvania Plaza-17th Floor, NY 10121
Senator Bob Dole, 141 Hart Building, Washington, D.C. 20510-0001
Senator Don Nickles, 713 Hart Building " " "
Senator Wm. Roth, 104 Hart Building " " "
Senator Bob Packwood, 259 Russell Building " " "
Senator Phil Gramm, 370 Russell Building " " "
Rep. Richard Armey 130 Canon Building " " 20515-0001

SALINA, KS
PM 674
4 AUG
1993



Brian Lamb
C-Span Network
400 Capitol St - #650
Washington DC 20001-1511