


Gentlemen?

10-15-99

The letter on the other side was sent last year -
apparently, the same moles are at work today.
I was watching Channel 5 and German, the
newly elected head of the Reform Party was
on your program - You could see
him but No Voice

I thought you should know
Sincerely



The Reform Party is concentrating on get
the Vote Out! It does not matter which
party you vote for - Just Vote on the Primary
Surge your friends to do the same!

O. PITW+H

[REDACTED]
Cincinnati, Ohio 45243
[REDACTED]

If anybody knows where or what has happened to Ross Perot we would be interested in knowing. The 1% elite who own the media (T.V., Radio, Newspapers) give him very little coverage. In fact we hear they do not allow him to buy time on T.V. since the election.

U.W.S. America members want to do him the honor of showing we care, after all he has done for this great nation. We would like to know his whereabouts and that he is well and safe. We would appreciate any information about him.

He will go down in history as having awoken the Rip Van Winkle sleepers the last four years.

Attn.: All U.W.S.A. Original Members.

Please send or E-Mail us your name and address, telephone number plus your membership number if you still have it to the above address.

Unfortunately we have had a lot of moles in the Reform Party who have either lost or misplaced our original list.

We want to be able to contact the members. The Reform Party is still alive and well and is educating the public as we were originally formed to do. Still a grass root organization and very grateful to Ross Perot for all he has done. But it is now our time to gather together and speak out and make our Representative accountable. The children must leave the nest sometime.

Now In America Commentary By Dan Hellmuth, True Blue Steering Committee

Our Declaration of Independence was the most dramatic step in our country's history. Fifty-six American leaders found the courage to sign it, knowing their probable outcome for doing so. These men were some of the most affluent men on the continent and had a lot to lose, but the independence of the country meant more to them than their own lives.

Five of them were captured by the British and brutally tortured. Nine of them fought and died in the war. Two of them lost their sons in the Continental Army. Two more sons were captured and suffered as prisoners of war. More than a dozen had their homes pillaged and burned. Seventeen of them lost everything they owned.

John Hancock lost his daughter to complications of birth because they

couldn't risk a doctor's care. Richard Stockton hurried home after signing to find his family living like refugees. He was beaten and thrown into prison, almost starving to death. His estate was looted and burned. He died before the end of the war and his family lived the rest of their lives in poverty.

"Honest John" Hart of New Jersey was driven from his wife's deathbed. Their thirteen children had to flee for their lives. He remained free for over a year but when he finally returned home, his wife was dead, his children gone and his farm destroyed. He died in 1779 without ever seeing any of his family again.

This was a tremendous investment they made in our freedom and prosperity. I doubt if anyone today would voluntarily repeat the commitment, knowing the outcome

in advance. Their sacrifices are some of the reasons for our severe penalties for treason.

Yet, greed, selfishness and corruption are rampant in our government today. As another first for our country, a very well known candidate has publicly said in a convention speech heard across the country, that as soon as he completes his inaugural vows, he will turn to the outgoing President, read the Miranda rights to him, and have him arrested for treason. Is this where the American apathy and political ignorance have led us? How much farther down this path can this country survive? If there was ever a time in our country when every citizen's involvement in our political system is critical to our country's survival, now is the time.

45243+4013

Mr. Mackley
c/o Bartley Family
4745 Hill Top Dr.
Cynthiana, Ohio 45243
Wm. Briddle
Ready or not
One World

ST
SAT Zumbod
last Malvern

IMPORTANT! Please cut and mail this label (with corrections, if any) to the True Blue Office

True Blue Patriots
P.O. Box 62404
Cincinnati, OH 45262
Phone: 513-731-8120
Fax: 513-777-8140
<http://home.fuse.net/trueblue>
email: home.fuse.net/trueblue



True Blue Basics - Federal Budgets

by Pat Cooksey

It's not that our mission statement isn't clear. It is. We believe that continued liberty requires that government stop increasing taxes, introduce spending cuts and focus on reducing government waste. It's just that some folks out there want to muddy the waters, so let's have a "this is a football" session on federal spending.

To give context to the danger of excessive government, let's return to Thomas Jefferson who said, "the government which governs best governs least." Later in life, he wrote: "When all government, domestic and foreign, in little as in great things, shall be drawn to Washington as the center of all power, it will render powerless the checks provided of one government on another, and will become as venal and oppressive as the government from which we separated."

President Clinton in January 1999 said, "We are redefining in practical terms the immutable ideals that have guided us from the beginning."

- The Joint Economic Committee of Congress in *Tax Expenditures: A Review & Analysis*, says "lost revenues are regarded as properly belonging to the Federal Government. This violates the deeply ingrained principle that income, at least initially, belongs to those who generate it and that only through the democratic process becomes subject to taxation." Larry Kudlow, an economist who served in Reagan's Treasury Department, says the budget surplus is "a tax revenue surplus, because there was no budget downsizing," "we didn't cut departments and agencies."
- The Federal Register of regulations tops 68,000 pages, almost 3 times its size from 25 years ago.
- From 1990 to 1994 tax revenues grew just 4.4% per year. Since then, they've grown at 6.8%. The record shows that the economy struggled to emerge from recession and did not speed up until 1994 when a new congress promised spending restraint.
- The last federal government surplus was in 1969, since 1950, Washington hasn't had 3 straight years of surplus.
- Just a question: if tax cuts save a \$100,000-a-year earner \$2,000 on his tax bill, should the \$6,000-a-year, minimum-wage part-timer (who pays little or no taxes) also save \$2,000 on his tax bill? Would that be a tax cut or a giveaway - like the earned income tax credit (EITC)?
- The proposed budget, described as having "huge" and "mammoth" tax cuts is actually just \$79 billion per year, approximately 1/3 of the total expected annual surpluses over the next ten years.
- President Clinton says he will veto the tax cut bill because it "undermines our ability to reform Social Security and Medicare" and recommends needed new programs: a voluntary prescription drug benefit under Medicare, stock market investments for retirement (run by the government) and \$201 billion in new discretionary domestic spending.
- Medicare began in 1965 with an expected cost of \$3 billion or \$12 billion in 1990 dollars. The actual expense for Medicare in 1990 was \$98 billion.
- The Education Department has spent more than \$450 billion since it began in 1970.
- According to the National Taxpayers Union, federal discretionary domestic spending has grown (inflation-adjusted) 27% since 1990.
- NTU also says total federal spending is up 43% in real terms since 1990.
- Investors Business Daily recently stated, "devoting the entire non-Social Security surplus to tax relief would reduce taxes 2.9% over the next five years. Spending the entire surplus would boost federal outlays only 3.2%."
- Forty years ago, a typical family budget included 19.9% for federal taxes and

7.8% for state and local taxes. In 1996, the tax bill was 26.7% for federal taxes and 11.7% for state and local taxes.

Total taxes, adjusted to 1996 dollars, were \$6,665 in 1956 compared with almost \$22,000 in 1996.

Investors Business Daily recently presented "A Glossary of Freedom," listing these principles:

"Less government means more freedom."

"The government should have no claim to our income, because it erodes our freedom."

"Government money is increasingly used to enforce a low-quality, crass form of vocationalism... Education reform is thus a question of liberty and self-government."

"A strong military is not needed just so the U.S. can remain the only superpower on earth. It's necessary to protect our freedom."

A tax cut for the rich includes those who earn an adjusted gross income of \$21,802. That includes over 57 million returns, the citizens who pay 95% of total individual income taxes (says the IRS).

If you're earning \$42,742, you're in the top 25% of the rich who pay slightly less than 80% of total individual income taxes (says the IRS).

If you're earning \$68,753, you're in the top 10% of the rich, who pay slightly less than 60% of total individual taxes (says the IRS).

