

27 Dec 96

Brian Lamb, Dir.
C-SPAN/DC:

re: Feet of Clay Eternal

O.WJ

Gotcha!

The persistent caller this day who brought to your attention the promise you surely did make---I too heard it---that the quota (by your selection) of caller-lines would end after the election! Look it up.

Indeed someone has convinced you that it might be to your advantage to be kinder in some manner to the folks in the White House....Example:

When you reran the Imus Dinner thing, the pissed-off shots of Bill and Hillary (boy! if looks could kill!!) were expunged!!!
Now don't tell us that was an accident.

Nonetheless, something worse has been in evidence from the initial days of your airings. Namely, an anti-Second Amendment commitment that is ~~#####~~ (censored) not good. From the polls you had on when the draconian Brady-type laws were enacted, we got ~~the~~ a message that the Schumers were logical. The utter inability to use anyone with a groundbreaking "Booknotes" book on legitimate gun-keeping is surely the case. I realize that, by even bringing this up, I am dooming my case. But, know this, C-SPAN: the anti-gun-rights people are not fools; and, the total shut-out of prestigious sources on gun-rights is emblematic of a cultural ~~C-SPAN~~ crippling that transcends whatever comes down from the Oval Office.

It is impossible for a pro-gun advocate to be heard, in my viewing of many years, in your dual-channeled book reviews. If that is not knuckling under to the most anti-defense (self defense is nature's oldest right) administration ever, what is?

The Inside-the-Beltway Disease of Go-Along has won. And we are all the poorer for it. I wish to live to see pure unmediated access rights afforded all around. That means your

(contd)

2. C-SPAN

(contd) staff will no longer be able to pick and choose who can be heard. Above all, there will be outside book review selectors ~~#####~~ who will not pander to all the minorities ~~###~~ that ~~will be~~ ^{ARE} given valuable time to balance out the outrages of a nation hopelessly corrupt, greedy and ~~oblivious~~ to the status of the underclasses.

I also sense that you see all journalists as equally decent. In other words, when the conservative newsie speaks, you accept his statement as, well, acceptable. Whenever a liberal press flake begins a diatribe, the ill-mannered screecher elicits nothing more from you than amusement.

The truth is that the Left in all pursuits of our life is made up, in the main, by the Messianic Personality^{type}. To the Press Savior, we are all human putty, to be molded. The ones drawn to journalism are overwhelmingly desirous of helping us if it kills us. The Waco horror was a graphic case of it. Janet (Bar-B-Que 'Em High) Reno cannot bring herself to do more than whitewash ~~#####~~ the killers there, and hang medals and promotions on them. Native reporters, by and large, emerge as more or less the equivalent of these surrounding Lenin, Castro, etc., ie, being with the power and living well. Eric Hoffer has written well on this verity. Al Hunt could just as easily been on Hitler's side in another life. He is dictatorial and I am afraid someday his careless lock will reach down to his navel. If this sounds juvenile, consider the contempt with which the Hunts and the Clifts and the Carlsons and the Shields approach their foes. To them, we are subhuman and they care not.

Alas, I'll wager that your staff is also culturally maimed. Why Waco? is a magnificent and scholarly effort by professors Tabor and Gallagher. Instead, we get a childish tome by a
(contd)

3. C-SPAN

(contd) black writer-woman that was truly embarrassing in its shallowness. You also used a genuinely treasonous scribe of the IPS some time ago. His buddy at IPS said "We are all Marxists here." That author went to Hanoi to inflame the world against his own land during the Vietnam War. Shame.

Mary Lefkowitz in Not Out of Africa puts the squelch on all this Afro-centrist crap about Socrates being black. When does she get to appear? Oh, well, you'd have to offer equal time, which is OK with me, as you nod### approval. The only fly in that ointment is that any of these Spike-Lee imbeciles with books are beyond treatment. But, go ahead. The big difference is that we believe in counter###^{-pieces}###. You do not.

There is a tide of immense proportions seething out here. It is a serious press-crisis writ large. In the electronic realm it is the most invidious, due to the pervasiveness of TV and radio. Ask yourself, why is the AM-talk radio, left to the free marketplace, overwhelmingly (except by subsidization, I'll bet) conservative and centrist? It is because doctrinaire leftist-old New Deal Liberalism is kaput. The Neo-Cons are where it is at.

Bubba is going to fall, believe it. Then we will get an even larger portrait of Dorians Gray and Lucretias Borgia who really don't like the essence of a First Amendment at all.

note: I hold the Falwells and that ilk in utter contempt. Even they, however, do not dump on free speech as do our

"seven deadly sinners of the East Coast," Paul Johnson's perfect term ^{for} you-know-who (your most used guests).

In disgust,

^{X=his mark}
[redacted], New South Wales Wallaby Rancher (if you can deceive, why not I?)

note: why no Reed Irvine? Or Brent Bozell III?

cc: others