

O. J. N

Brian Lamb
Washington D.C.

Mena, Ark. 71953

Dear Brian,
When I read this report a
year ago I didn't understand
it fully. I'm 81 yrs. old and a little
slow. But since having listened to
a couple of four hour shows (God helps
us) on Garakhan and H Du B was
trying to tell us how dangerous this
Islam thing really is a year ago. After
you read the report you will see how
a lot of people now understand. (even me)

C-Span is the one.
And you too. We love
you like a son.



A FOREIGN AFFAIRS LETTER



H du B REPORTS

PARIS

VOLUME 37, LETTER 8 JANUARY 1995

Declaration of a War Without Fronts

This report was finished and about to be faxed to Utah on December 23 when I telephoned that it would be late. Lee, our production editor, was worried about being late. I explained, there had been too many tell-tale signs, little indications that the armed group of Algeria's fundamentalists were planning a surprise blow over Christmas. By now the hijacking of the plane that was to be exploded over Paris and the killing of four priests on December 27, one of whom was in Algeria to urge talks with the Islamicists, are history.

The Islamic Salvation Army (AIS), the armed force of the Islamic Salvation Front, published its declaration of war on France on December 23 in its bulletin. EL-FETH EL-MOUBINE (The Smashing Victory) of that date. "War against France has become a legal duty," it declared. "The Algerian nation is today directly in conflict with France and all those who aid her among the Jews and Christians in the world."

France is the closest satan, America the big one. The Islamic parliament in England, which, like Moslems in America, has raised funds for Algerian terrorists, is on record, as owing its loyalty to Islam in the event of war. Acting with Jimmy Carter type naivete, based

on ignorance, the American press and government never ceased to urge the Algerian government to negotiate. How can one negotiate with men using religion as a road to power and having as their device "No Peace. No Truce. No Talks"?

Terrorist war is what the Ayatollah Khomeiny promised. A war in which there are no uniforms or borders. And any attempt by forces of law and order to separate terrorists from honest Moslems will bring cries of racism and infringement of human rights. Brussels' morning paper, LA LIBRE BELGIQUE, of December 27, warned "The Americans are playing with fire in not taking the Islamic threat seriously."

Its editorial stated "The United States, whose policy seems to be to want to accommodate with an Islamic government in Algeria, is encouraging the extremists rather than calming them." H. du B. Report has warned against the jihad until we feared readers should become as sick of reading about it as they are of Sarajevo. But the American press was not giving honest reporting and neither was the Algerian government. Cameramen are not allowed in the country and journalists are assassinated.

The Algerian government did not

Hilaire du Berrier, Correspondent / 20 Blvd. Princesse Charlotte, Monte Carlo, MONACO

Leda P. Rutherford, Managing Editor / P.O. Box 786 / St. George, Utah 84771 / FAX (801) 628-4985

Subscription Rate: \$75.00 per year Extra Copies: \$1.00 subscriber \$7.50 non-subscriber © 1989

want the world to know that as many as a thousand people a week were being murdered. That two hundred and eleven women have been killed in the past 16 months for using lipstick, teaching French in a school or refusing to veil their faces. Many were violated, tortured, and then decapitated and their heads kept as trophies. Having added the above let us return to the script that was ready for faxing on December 22.

Jane's Defense Weekly of January 8, 1994, counted 27 military conflicts in the world, 12 "flashpoints" and 31 areas of tension. While "Holy War" was becoming only a matter of time, the West's ability to cope was steadily eroded. Forgive us if we continue to hammer on Islam's fundamentalist menace. It is because potential fifth columns in every nation of the west are frightening, without the criminal mobs who will attempt to legalize arson and murder by calling themselves Moslems when the madness starts.

Paul Johnson, the author of *Modern Times - The World From the Twenties to the Eighties*, sees the will of nations being destroyed as the threat approaches. Alien communities are encouraged to emphasize their separateness rather than seek integration, he wrote. "Those who work to transfer national sovereignty to an acquisitive supranational entity, run from Brussels, are Quislings as surely as was the Norwegian who wanted a new world order under Hitler . . . As these two destructive forces gain momentum, respect for the nation to which the west looked for leadership plummets under a President and wife team that put government in the hands of people picked for racial, sexual and ideological diversity rather than qualification."

While the nation state is under attack and a few leaders struggle to defend their traditions, a rot is eroding education, which is the rock bed on which nation itself reposes. The *London Times* of December 14 trumpeted the idea that after America's November 8 election, political correctness is yesterday's fad. Nothing could be further from the truth. The leftist

professors and the halls of learning where political correctness' attack on language started have not changed.

While professional intellectuals talked drivel and rogue nations acquired nuclear arms and missiles, America's President and his wife were occupied with the rights of illegal immigrants, mendicants, criminals, homosexuals, and those who claimed considerations because of their color, according to England's leading journal. That is how America began to be considered the unreliable member of the Western team, it added.

After November 8 the President scrambled to sacrifice his obsession with "diversity" to save his image. Now anything he does is seen as opportunism. Dumping office holders who never should have been appointed only exposes the shallowness at the top. The December 9 sacking of US Surgeon-General Jocelyn Elders who held that masturbation should be taught in school, and there was nothing wrong with drug peddling, was an example. (Her son had just been sentenced for the latter.)

Still on the job is Madeleine Albright, the UN ambassador whom Clinton sent to Moscow on an airforce C-141 which costs \$3,400 dollars an hour to operate, instead of a commercial flight. So is Strobe Talbot, the authority who judged Soviet Russia by her poets rather than Stalin's purges, and Donna Shalala and a host of others.

The man who put such people in government with the quota system for a yardstick is now stuck between his wife and her friends and watchers who tell him only a move to the right can save him, another British columnist wrote.

Surveying the future from his office window, Lord Rees-Mogg started his *London Times* column of December 12: "This is looking like a rather miserable Christmas for Britain. Not since the years after the war, or in years of acute recession, can I remember such widespread depression, the same general feeling of fear for the future."

COLONEL-GENERAL ANDREI
NIKOLAYEV, REGARDED AS RUSSIA'S

COMING MILITARY LEADER, HAD NO DOUBT OF THE DANGER FACING THE FORMER SOVIET UNION AND ITS FORMER ENEMIES IN 1995. In mid-November he warned: "The new threat carries the Koran in one hand and a Kalashnikov in the other. It is Islamic fundamentalism, which can spread to the Moslem sections of Russia.

"It is time," he said, "the world should be told frankly how disastrous it will be, not if, but when, the germs of Islamic fundamentalism sweep Russia's five former Moslem republics." While Jacques Delors, the outgoing president of the EUROPEAN COMMISSION, was trying to turn the EU social charter into a detailed pan-European law, a pan-Islamic coalition was forming against the West.

The bloc about to replace Soviet Russia as the West's enemy was born when President Carter and those he brought with him backed a senile bigot's play for power in Iran in the name of human rights.

NOW, AS 1994 ENDS, INDIA AND PAKISTAN ARE ON THE VERGE OF WAR OVER KASHMIR. Allies who will come to Pakistan's aid span the world. Nehru wanted Moslem Kashmir because he was born there and used the pretext that its Rajah was Hindu, to seize it. When the Kashmiris protested he promised talks and a plebiscite in the future, which he had no intention of giving.

Today both India and Kashmir have nuclear weapons and are capable of setting forces in march such as communism never dreamed of. Sociologists can cease worrying about over-population in the Indian sub-continent.

When American's Afghan, Gulbuddin Hekmatyar, joins Pakistan and Iran to form a three-nation front against the country of Nehru, the hypocrite, the global division will spread. NATO was not being heartless when it opposed Clinton's lifting the arms embargo against the Bosnian Moslems; it was the thought that he was setting up a Moslem state ten minutes flight from Rome, thirty minutes from

Paris and an hour from Moscow.

Bosnia's Moslems were without arms at the beginning of their war with the Serbs. They now have heavy artillery, tanks, and air support financed by the Islamic conference, which Iran leads. Turkish and Pakistan officers train their forces.

On September 4 the Moslem commander of the 6th Bosnian Army received General Mike Hayden, the chief of American Military Intelligence in Europe, General Mike Miza, the chief of military operations, Ambassador Charles Thomas who came as the President's special envoy, and Richard Holbrook, the Assistant Secretary of State for Europe.

A few weeks later General Gavin, the former commander-in-chief of NATO in Europe, arrived in Bosnia with fifteen American advisers. The man who loathed the military when his own skin was at stake is about to lead America into an endless Balkan conflict. Paris' weekly *Valuers Actuelles* observed that in forty years of cold war Russia was unable to divide America and Europe. Clinton, with a simple announcement, did it in an hour.

THE OVERALL DISTRIBUTION OF FORCES IS MORE DISTURBING. Only 37 of the 184 nations in UN are Moslem but they speak for teaming millions, of whom only a thin crust are out of the middle ages. One citizen in five in Russia is Moslem, America is estimated to have 4 million not counting those who will claim religion as a cover for crime, France has some 5 million. Half of the ten million able to roam five nations of Europe since the Schengen Accord gave them freedom of movement.

Britain's two million have their own parliament and say they will abide by the Koran's laws when the trouble starts. German racists are clashing with the 2 million Turks, who came when Germany needed workers, and proceeded to have children. India's 11% Moslem minority will be the most defenseless.

France is the ideal starting point for a religious civil war in Europe. In 1985 President Mitterand abolished the quota

and permitted Algerians to enter and work on a tourist visa. After a few years of residence illegal immigrants were granted nationality. They represented socialist votes.

The constant and massive immigration which de Gaulle thought he was avoiding was inevitable from the first. When America and the *New York Times* supported the Algerian rebels from 1954 to 1962, they should have known that as soon the "colons," whom Mike Mansfield despised, were run out there would be no one to provide employment and in one generation the time bomb would explode. Almost 350,000 French visas were granted to Europeanized Algerians fleeing assassination in 1993.

At present 150 visas a day are being granted to people under threat but once in France police are protecting them with their hands tied. One Algerian woman has thrown caution to the winds and come out against "the degradation of three hundred and twenty million Moslem women unprotected in their countries, helpless under the tyranny of families, the passions of men, and the persecution of clans. "They," she charges, "are Islam's forgotten victims."

As no-go areas multiply in Egypt and Algeria and Saudi Arabia becomes as shaky as her desert, now is not time for the 144 settlements in Israel's occupied territory to insist on expanding.

DEVELOPMENTS PROVIDE AN EXAMPLE OF HOW THE PROVOCATION, REPRESSION, REVOLUTION PROCESS IS WORKING IN FRANCE. In October 1989 three young girls in Creil College wore scarves to class. Since schools must be non-secular, the principal ordered that they come as the other students or be barred. Encouraged and coached by representatives of the mosque who waited outside, to protect them, they claimed, the girls invoked the rules of the Koran.

The fundamentalists were probing, to see how far they could go. Most teachers supported the regulation which prohibits

religious proselytism inside the establishment. Intellectuals and the liberal media defended "the right to be different." Two representatives came from the UNION OF ISLAMIC ORGANIZATIONS OF FRANCE to tell the principal the scarf was not a matter of negotiation, it was obligatory, regardless of the laws of the country.

The headscarf began appearing at other schools where before it had never been seen. By then the country was divided. Conservatives supported the principal; liberals and socialists in the National Assembly came out for the scarf-wearers.

The movement spread. Some officials closed their eyes, others enforced exclusion when wearers of the scarf began threatening Moslem girls who did not wear it, or when scarf-wearers refused to participate in gymnastic classes, swimming or natural sciences.

In Lille an imam declared: "The law of God passes before the law of man." Every possible act was brought up to exclude Moslem students from rules of the communities where they were living. At this point SOS-RACISM, the organization supported by certain socialists and Trotskyists and organized to politicize the demands of blacks and North Africans, entered the fray. If Moslem girls could not wear headscarves, Catholics should be barred from wearing a cross.

With the scarf established as the rallying symbol of the new holy war and acid thrown in the faces of those who reject it, the scarf was brought into politics, to give the fundamentalists a special status in asylum nations.

Girls proved the perfect foils in schools and as sympathy gatherers when arrested for insulting the police. Provocation was stepped up when raids on the ISLAMIC SALVATION FRONT uncovered arms, ammunition, equipment for making false papers, and drugs used for financing operations.

Those with papers in order and no connections with the trouble-makers know the country is infiltrated with North Africans acting under foreign influence and should not resent being asked for identification,

but the search for false papers brought charges of human rights violations as an excuse for more violence.

According to Aleksandr Solzenitsyn, "The defense of individual rights has reached such extremes as to make society defenseless against certain individuals. When a government starts an earnest fight against terrorism, public opinion immediately accuses it of violating the terrorist's human rights. It is time, in the West, to defend not so much human rights as human obligations."

This is where the continent stood as year's end approached and military leaders worked on plans to defeat a jihad while politicians fought pro and con over the nation state. Some dreamed of a globe governed by four commissioners with Brussels holding the strings. Jacques Delors, ex-president of the EU Commission, saw the five core nations - Germany, France, England, Belgium and Italy - federalized in a single European super-state which the rest will join.

On December 14 the European Commission announced that introduction of a single currency may start in early 1997. Americans have worked towards this since the days of Edward Mandel House. The diaries of Jean Monnet's right-hand man tell how Allen Dulles gave Europe's federalists all the help he could. Henry Cabot Lodge set up the organization in Paris that was to prepare America for entry, and Dean Acheson helped plan the European Union in its present form. (See H. du B. Report, Sept. 1989).

Though Germany as a whole is said to be against it, Chancellor Helmut Kohl has never ceased to whip Europe towards a Federal state with a single currency. The central bank of Europe's single money will be the Bundesbank, whose 200 branches and 16,000 employees already dominate financial Europe. The mark is the strongest currency of the fifteen countries, nine groups, and floating number of non-committed nations whose monies are at stake. As experts see it, Germany is about to realize on the financial front what she failed to achieve by war.

A united Germany will prove too powerful for France and the other EU nations to contain. A Europe dominated by Germany and Russia is the goal. With its 350 million population this EUROPE will out-produce the United States and set exchange rates at will. London's *Sunday Telegraph* of December 4 reported that the Brussels Commission has set up a "Directorate X" to discredit unfavorable stories.

The greatest defender of national sovereignty since the fall of Margaret Thatcher is Sir James Goldsmith, who lives in France and became a member of the European Parliament in this summer's election to protect national interests from within it.

In his book, *Le Pieu (The Trap)*, Goldsmith tells Europe and America, "The attempt to construct a United States of Europe is also a threat to our cultures and identities; it will weaken genuine democracy (which requires a sense of fellow being, of a sort which nations possess but which whole continents do not), substituting the rule of distant technocrats. The GATT project of global free trade is also a threat to our communities because it means that our industries will be undercut by cheap labor in countries emerging from the third world."

Against this protectionist Europe, or any other threat, professors and intellectuals of the left are being used to condition electorates. A generation unequipped to make any defensive decision is in the making.

TWO MOVEMENTS ARE DISARMING THE WEST WHILE THE ISLAMIC WAR MOUNTS. THEY ARE THE DESTRUCTION OF PATRIOTISM BY "EUROPEANS" AND MISEDUCATION BY PROFESSORS TEACHING THOSE WHO IN A FEW YEARS WILL VOTE. Europe was still reeling over the new "politically correct" translation of the Bible when the *Sunday Times* of October 30 announced that 35 national education organizations, funded by the Clinton government, have come up with a new cur-

riculum in which the Norman conquest of England is not mentioned. In its place are the deeds of a West African King called Mansa Musa.

There is no mention of Robert E. Lee or Thomas Edison. The new standards go out of their way to praise minority cultures while condemning the capitalist system and downgrading society. Ronald Reagan is referred to as a "cheerleader for selfishness" and forgotten in this new curriculum where McCarthyism is mentioned 17 times.

In England the "SAVE THE CHILDREN" program is reported to be encouraging children to organize school strikes. Education is equated with brainwashing and the young are urged to "fight for their liberation," according to the *Sunday Times* of December 4.

What we are seeing is a campaign against culture lead by people who have made themselves educators, according to Anthony Lejeune, who found that few of England's young read anything voluntarily. They prefer videos, he says, and he cannot blame them when many public figures have adopted sloppiness as a kind of designer stubble. Mr. George Walden, in a speech at the Royal Institute of International Affairs, said "Our teachers decline to teach grammar on the not unreasonable grounds that they have not learned it themselves."

Anthony Hare wrote in the *Sunday Telegraph* of April 18, 1993: "For far too long we have deluded ourselves with the belief that there can be success without cost, that meaning well is the same thing as doing well, that we can muddle through without hard decisions and hard work . . . This ideology is still rampant in education, which significantly is one of the remaining outposts of truculent trade unionism. As well as holding that education should be a largely unaccountable state-provided service, teachers have for 20 or 30 years been indoctrinated with the idea that the happiness of children is the first aim of education. And by happiness is meant not happiness in the long term, but happiness now, in the classroom. Anything which

compromises this aim, above all, straight forward objective testing, is to be eliminated."

Civilizations' future, as we face the most dangerous period since the war, depends on universities and schools becoming again the well-springs of knowledge. If we are to survive the threats from enemies of nationhood and menaces from suicidal fanatics, sound professors and true places of learning must be brought back.

While we are on the subject of knowledge versus ideological cant, this report cannot recommend too highly General John Singlaub's recent book, *Hazardous Duty*. For a signed volume of the most honest book on post-war history, write a letter to General Singlaub, P.O. Box 2603, Arlington, VA 22202, enclosing a \$25 check.

No other general has named names and detailed facts as General Singlaub has in his story of how China was sold out, how what he calls "civilian field marshals at desks in Washington" made soldiers die for defeat in Vietnam. From Clark Clifford and Arthur Goldberg to Henry Kissinger, O. Edmund Clubb and the small man, Sullivan, whom Harriman made an ambassador, all are there. Most devastating and a must for future historians are the pages on Jimmy Carter when peace hung on a balance in Korea. This book is as necessary for future research as for immediate reading.

Valuers Actuelles headed its story on the Algiers hijacking and Islamic declaration of war: THE ALGERIAN WAR ARRIVES IN FRANCE. London's *Sunday Times* of January 1 proclaimed in large letters HOLY WAR. It is here and must be faced. There have been no threats against America until now because of the pressure put on the Algerian Government to cede, but the "Great Satan" is next in line. Send a subscription for this report to your senators and congressmen. They will not find what they should have at hand in the *Washington Post* or *New York Times*.