

[REDACTED]
Westlake, OH 44145

April 3, 1994

C-Span
Reporters' Roundtable
Brian Lamb
400 N. Capitol St., Suite 650
Washington, D.C. 20001

Dear Mr. Lamb,

I was struck by the high moral tone of the two male reporters on the recent edition of Reporters' Roundtable in dismissing Ollie North as a "pathological liar" in one case and, in the other, as a person for whom he could never vote.

As for the "pathological liar" comment, I wonder if the reporter had ever expressed a similar opinion on national television or in print with respect to President Pinnocchio. If not, I am very interested to know why not. Is it possible there is an ideological motive at play here?

With respect to the "I would never vote for . . ." comment, the implicit conclusion is that Chuck Robb is the moral superior of Ollie North. Is this what the reporter intended to communicate? If so, I would be interested in some elaboration of the point. If not, why didn't the reporter go on to say something to the effect that, "Of course, Chuck Robb is no better than Ollie North when it comes to personal ethics."? Once again, is the reporter's bias showing?

Very truly yours, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Westlake, Ohio 44145



*C-SPAN
Reporters' Roundtable
Brian Lamb
400 N. Capitol St., Suite 650
Washington, D.C. 20001*