

Dear C-SPAN:

12/21/93. 10AM

Your program re "American Spectator Article on Clinton" is a bit disingenuous. You, collectively, often denigrate those who call in with "conspiracy theories". But the last efforts by Mr Brocke, Doer, reflect the use of innuendo increasingly used to smear public figures. CNN does this so much that I tend to ignore their so-called "political coverage".

It totally affronts me to see you expand on your normally reasonable coverage to the level of corroborating the "normal" inside-the-beltway efforts to destroy any really public figure. You did use the "classic" disclaimer - "we invited the White House to send someone over to state their point of view" but - as is currently practiced - is non-sense. How can anyone respond to an article based on gossip, from people sworn to "bring Clinton down" in the person "Jackson"? Gossip can not be reversed by denial and you know it.

The sanctimonious "Conservative"/"Right Wing" judgement that only their Candidates qualify for sainthood is just that. Most of the political establishment in D.C. has skeletons in the closet of one form or another. It seems that gossip coverage is the current press's "cottage industry". And perhaps that is the easy substitute for finding substantive hard news.

Thanks for increasing further my cynicism regarding biased "news coverage".

Happy(?) Holidays + Peace