

[REDACTED]
Columbus, Ohio 43220

January 25, 1998

General Manager
C-Span
400 N. Capitol St., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 2001

Dear Sir:

I have learned to use care in watching your news coverage as your companys' liberal bias is always in your presentation of any news event pertaining to the Clinton administration. I will give you this, C-Span does a better job of at least including some views of a conservative nature than does the other major networks. For this I thank you. I watch Washington Journal every morning while I work out. It does seem your station allows much more response time to liberals especially if there is a real aggressive one at the desk.

I am constantly dismayed by the medias propensity to always show the Democrats point of view. I expect this sort of thing from the White House, but not from a news organization. The very least you can do is always allow both sides the same time in responding to a caller. Perhaps since I am a conservative it seems to me the broadcasts are always slanted to the Democrats side of the issue.

When Grossman, Emanuel, Carville and their other pit bulls are loose it is obvious they are in the spin mode. When they say the Presidents response must be right and if that takes longer for him to respond then "so be it" my feelings are one of disbelief. The silence is deafening! Who but the accused could clear this up in one short news report? The President should be able to respond quickly and effectively to these allegations. They are either true or false.

These same people are given much air time to tell us how much Mr. Clinton has done for his country. What did he do, did I miss it? Perhaps he served his country in the military and performed some heroic deeds, maybe he tore down the Berlin Wall and defeated communism. It is said by these same people he is responsible for this great economy we are enjoying then I must remind your news staff the Republicans and Independents were responsible for stopping the Health Care debacle that would have brought the economy to its knees. Who put the cap on his spending sprees? Not the Democrats! Your news presentations should give both sides of the issue and then allow the public to make up its own mind. Your polls are also suspect in my mind. I have never known a soul who was contacted by a political poll. Survey questions are also suspect in how they are framed. When liberals

J. C. Lew

ask the questions they seem to get the answers they are looking for.

Now, the question at hand...Can anyone compartmentalize their ethics and morals? I think not. If you are ethical and moral that becomes part of your personality and behavior, this is called "core values." If Bill Clinton is guilty of having any kind of immoral relationship with this young girl he is not a moral man. When he took the oath of office he swore to protect the United States and its laws. Being President does not mean he is exempt from the laws all Americans must live by.

When parents send their young men and women to Washington to become an intern I am sure they feel they are in safe hands. A young person can become "star struck" but the person who is a ethically and morally grounded adult would immediately inform this young impressionable person that a relationship of any kind is out of the question. Certainly they would not buy this person gifts, etc., as is alleged in this particular incident. If he is guilty of any of these current charges then what he has done to this young woman is worse than random violence. He should also be held to a higher standard than any Senator, Congressman as the office of the Presidency is supposed to mean something honorable.

Should he be allowed to ruin the life of this young lady and her reputation? He knew a relationship with her could go nowhere. All the spin doctors in the world cannot change these facts or spin his behavior into anything moral or ethical.

How your feminist news reporters can excuse him is beyond me and I am female. Bill Clinton has no regard for women, not his wife or daughter and certainly not any female. Men who have regard for women do not use them as sexual objects. This man shows such arrogance and disregards common decency. When C-Span allows any guest to rattle on and on when they are obviously making a speech it is wrong and either your anchors are afraid to stop them or they agree with them which is usually what listeners and people watching believe.

When your news department must report horrible incidents your reporters act so appalled and astonished while reporting "murder" "road rage", "kids killing kids", "children having children", "children killing their new borns." Yet they and your station supports, condones and protects a President who constantly proves by his behavior that he flouts the law and does anything he wants. Why then should young people not do what they want when it feels right to them? This is what they constantly see even through your news organization and the President of their country. His arrogance knows no bounds and in order to avoid any penalty he just denies, delays and stonewalls ... these episodic lapses in a "50 something" President are too much to take, but then we knew what he was when he said he didn't inhale.

Please adopt a policy to present only facts and have your news department keep the spinning out of their presentations or at least allow another point of view to counter the liberal bias. Just complete and clear facts. If the President would do that then this would be over. It seems he is once again rewriting his past behavior. Kenneth Starr didn't cause this, the Republicans didn't, neither did the star struck girl. Bill Clinton's own actions caused this and you should welcome the fact that the system does and will work. Our country won't fall if he leaves office either through resignation or impeachment.

It might be said perhaps a less than alert media gave him a pass. Maybe if they had been as diligent in looking for dirt on the President as they are on conservatives this might have been squashed at an earlier time. One thing I know, the public will not buy this is Kenneths Starr's fault. Maybe the press who always falls hard for any liberal is a bit at fault.

As for Hillary...what a pitiful person. Talk about making a deal with evil! It appears she wants to be first lady more than she wants to protect the dignity of herself and daughter. Maybe she can handle all this but it is unseemly to see her naked lust for the power of the Presidency.

Why on earth doesn't some respected Democrat step up to the plate and tell him to resign? Clinton does not love his country. He loves being President and has fed at the public trough all his life. He wouldn't serve when he was called by the draft. He protested against his own country while enjoying all the privileges of his freedoms built on the blood of others. You can believe he will never resign. He should and then be applauded for the only moral and ethical act of his life.

You can add our family of 7 voting adults to any poll you have that we disapprove of Clinton, his behavior and feel there is no way he can handle the problems of our country. If he is so good at compartmentalizing his life he should extend that talent to his "hot zipper"!

Sincerely, [REDACTED]