

Monday
O. unplech

Dear C. Spaw,

I found this in the Sun.
Paper and got a Big Laugh!
How True!

I love your Program
most of the time; but, you had
a lady call in once to tell people
to read "Glass Houses" - she was
cut off -?

After you read it you will
know that many of our "Hypocritical
Republicans," that are in Congress
running our government, have
done many illegal things, had
sexual affairs and illegitimate
children - much worse than
anything the President has done.

Now they are accusing him of
something twenty years ago - the person
at one time even said - "Not True"?

Just like Hyde - Old STUFF!

© S. PAN

I tried to call Murken Anas Murket
was on (from the Wa. Post) Rang &
Rang - no one would answer.

With a Syndicated Columnist,
you were speaking of Watts,
a Conservative Black with Republicans

He's in the Book! Chosen by Lingquist
Calls Rev. Jesse Jackson & N.Y. Mayor =
^{race} hustling property pimps!

Accused of defaulting on Bank Loan
(Watts)
= denies, but, admits to ~~fox~~ gathering
two children out of Woodlock.

Thurman - 76 yrs old - still
grabbing rides & planting Slurpy Kisses
on them. At 75 married a person "
3 his age; backed by "Christian Coalition"
Fathered a black daughter by a
Granddaughter of a Shane

Hypocrisy Galore in
"Glass Houses"

WASHINGTON, D.C., CITY OF GLASS HOUSES... ^{Read (comes in paperback!)}

Sunday Times 2/21/99

©1998 SEATTLE POST-
INTELLIGENCER
NORTH AMERICA SYND.

HORSEY



YOU'LL FIND CRIMES OF MANY REPUBLICAN HYPOCRITES
IN CONGRESS - MUCH WORSE THAN ANYTHING CLINTON DID!

neighbors, understanding that is too often absent. Sidney Harring of the City University of New York's School of Law said the report shows Indians are "being victimized by poor, drunken whites, people on the margins hurting each other."

While alcohol and poverty fueled attacks on Indians, a social climate that denigrates Indians — an ugly but common feature of Western communities — tacitly encourages violence against them.

Political leaders, law enforcement officials and social workers in communities where this violence occurs must be the first line of defense against it. So must educators.

Indians are the nation's least understood racial group. Much of the blame for that lies with our education system. Cross-cultural education has long been a staple of school curricula aimed at reducing racial tensions and promoting acceptance of other ethnic groups.

But similar efforts to foster understanding of the Indian people's singular role in our national life have been all but absent from the educational curriculum, especially in the communi-

ties between Indians and some non-Indians in this state. Other conflicts over poorly understood tribal water rights, shellfish harvesting, hunting rights and gambling add to the volatile mix and feed racial resentments.

These conflicts are born of resentments rooted in ignorance.

It should trouble educators especially that so few non-Indians appreciate the legal and moral obligations imposed by the treaties upon succeeding generations of non-Indian citizens. Each generation of Americans must be taught the fundamental premise of U.S.-Indian relations: A deal is a deal, a promise is a promise. Non-Indians must understand the historical context of the treaties if they are to work.

Yet a blindly unshakable conviction persists among many non-Indians that, by virtue of their treaties, Indians unfairly inherit unearned privileges. This perverse perception ignores the inescapable obligation imposed by history, an obligation that modern Americans must honorably discharge.

Left unchallenged, it's a perception that — as this study attests — provides fodder for violence.

been accepted in every major leadership role but the presidency.

And Hillary Clinton has been the premier role model for millions of women ever since we discovered her political poise, daunting intelligence, practiced speaking style and deep involvement in national issues. She is the only first lady since Eleanor Roosevelt to speak and lobby regularly and openly on major public policy issues.

Republicans have always known where they stood on President Clinton. From the beginning, they despised him, his programs, his budgets, his informal country-boy manner. But Hillary baffles them. She has remade her image as often as her husband has — and with even more political success.

During the 1992 presidential campaign and the GOP national convention in Houston, Republicans singled her out as a symbol of rotten family values, calling her a "radical feminist" preoccupied with her career rather than her hearthstone. She was portrayed as overly ambitious and contemptuous toward homemakers. Former President Richard Nixon, whose own wife had so little to say she was dubbed Plastic Pat, mused that Hillary threatened her husband's campaign by making him look weak.

But the attacks on her backfired. Working mothers identified with her, and their support contributed to the widening gender gap and Clinton's election.

Still the Republicans didn't get it. When she crusaded for national health reform in 1993, she gave as good as she got in five appearances on Capitol Hill, demonstrating her substantive knowledge of the subject before skeptical lawmakers. She so astonished Texas Rep. Dick Armey, now the House minority leader, that he snapped, "The reports

Olympia: Politics ties up action on transportation

It's the same old story: The public

This stall amounts to a waste, fraud

Nuclear political