

004663 OCT 25 91

October 20, 1991

[REDACTED]
Soquel, Ca 95073

C-Span Letters

When claiming "God is my judge," Clarence Thomas utilized a most powerful "natural law" for his own benefit.

Regarding Professor Hill, he appeared to me to be clever, because understandably fearful, whether or not guilty. His attempted wipe-out of Anita Hill was by "pitying" her as an unstable woman suffering fantasies, and/or a political pawn. As if to convey that his innocence was unquestionable, and the real issue was the attempt to destroy his reputation.

Can he fairly decide justice within a system which, when it tests him, he denounces and charges "plot"?

If a defendant before him in his court had claimed "conspiracy" without a shred of evidence, would he have hesitated to call that defendant paranoid?

[REDACTED]

P.S. Continued thanks for C-Span. It is the life blood of our information system.