3/29/92

I enjoy CSPAN and watch it often. Please do not use Reed Irvine as a commentator. I strongly object to viewing Reed Irvine as a commentator.

If he is indicative of what Accuracy in Media represents, I would not support such an agency. There is a definite lack of objectivity. WIthout even listening to his words, his tone and body language give this away.

Reed Irvine obviously has very strong, negative, and passionate feelings against Clinton. And regardless of the question he was asked in one program I watched, he felt compelled to bring out his anger and state his charges against Mr Clinton.

The first time I saw Mr. Irvine he angrily accused of Bill Clinton of being a liar and gave his reasons.

The second time I saw him was on a CLOSE UP segment on political advertising. First, a couple of positive Clinton ads were shown. In the ensuing discussion, Mr Irvine made comments about the ads were not covering the character issue and talked about not being able to verify what the ads said. The ad in question contained quotes from major magazines. Later, he went into a whole detailed discussion of the Gennifer Flowers affair. He contained to detail a scandal involving Clinton's brother.

Only *after* his Clinton bashing, in each case, he made some comments which were appropriate to the question.

I do not object to hearing these allegations about Mr. Clinton, but I do object to Mr.Irvine's method of airing his grievances and his insistence of talking about all these charges when Clinton is not the subject of the talk.

