

Bethlehem, Pa.
18018
November 6, 1993

Dear Mr. Lamb,

I'm disappointed that you are using a new format, unveiled originally on your "Sunday Journal". I dislike being critical or picky because in my opinion, your network is FOPS. I am totally impressed with the neutrality of your hosts/hostesses. Their neutrality is the most important and appreciated approach to the debate of our political system.

Most newspapers, including ours (we have two) get their stories from the same places, the wire services: AP, Reuters etc, so the stories are the same, word for word. Only certain stories are covered, leaving some of the most important and/or controversial stories to be swept under the rug.

Your network is the only one that allows callers to state their concerns and ask questions of journalists/politicians, without being treated in a rude manner and without cutting them off in mid sentence.

In my opinion you are falling into the same trap all organizations eventually succumb to, FIXING SOMETHING THAT ISN'T BROKEN. I suppose the mindset is that way when a program is successful and expanding. I view your programs as a public service, far more superior to the networks, whose only goal is to prop up ratings. Your ratings are growing without the fancy "show type programs.

I firmly believe politicians would, if they could, stifle your network, a type of "FAIRNESS DOCTRINE" approach, although you do broadcast both sides of issues. I'm convinced this was a major factor in trying to stop or limit SPECIAL ORDERS!

Your format doesn't need to be "NEW and IMPROVED," it's fine the way it is. An example of the new and improved way of thinking is our educational system and you know the results. Please keep your old format. Thank you.

Respectfully .

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

BETHLEHEM, PA

18018