

[REDACTED]
Doylestown, Pa. 18901

May 24, 1993

Mr. Brian Lamb
C-Span #650
400 N. Capitol St. N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20001

002594 JUN 193

Dear Mr. Lamb:

On your program last Friday, Mr. Bob Franken made two disparaging remarks about people whom he described as "homophobes." I cannot find the word in my Merriam Webster Seventh Collegiate, so it is necessary that I guess at its meaning. If the definition of a homophobe is:

"A person who believes that God made people man and woman with sexual equipment designed to complement each other in a committed relationship for the emotional well being of both parties and the continuation of the human species."

then I am a homophobe.

As a homophobe, I do not hate homosexuals, nor do I bash them, nor do I countenance other people bashing them. I prefer that they not exist as I believe their life style is sodomic. However, since they do exist, as also do wife beaters, child abusers, pedophiles, robbers, murderers, and the Mafia, I believe I have the right to separate myself from them to the best of my ability. I do not want to know them; I do not want them governing me; I do not want them teaching children; and I do not want them receiving special benefits from society. I also do not believe that a discussion of homosexuals should replace a discussion of God in the classroom. Furthermore, I do not want them in the military because I believe their only reason for wanting such approval is to obtain approval from society of their sodomic life style. I will never support such aberrant behavior, and if such an attitude makes me a homophobe, I am proud of the title.

I have read many articles and have watched many T.V. programs discussing the current debate about homosexuals in the military (Air Force, 24 years), not only for the information presented but also to gauge the attitudes and potential influence of the programmers. I have just about reached the conclusion that ABC--TV is the homosexual network (See attached letter). While watching your program, I was surprised by Mr. Franken's somewhat knee-jerk response. For a moment, I thought I was hearing Barney Frank. Methinks Mr. Franken protesteth a little too much.

I trust that I am wrong and hope that C-Span continues its informative discussions and not permit homosexual bias to seep into its programming, as do many other organizations.

Very truly yours,
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Doylestown, Pa. 18901

April 12, 1993

Miss Sally Jessy Raphael
A.B.C. T.V.
New York, N. Y.

Dear Sally:

The April 5, 1993 Newsweek included an article about the political and publicity aspects of the coming battle concerning homosexuals in the military. The article discussed the fact that the pro-homosexual strategy will be to avoid any talk of the homosexual life-style and focus on the issue of discrimination.

On Monday, April 5, 1993, your program followed the homosexual line exactly as discussed in the Newsweek article. With the exception of a too-polite admiral, you loaded the panel with male and female homosexuals while the audience was also packed with homosexuals, some with American Legion caps, one skin head, and one typical hard hat. Your homosexuals and lesbians on the panel were permitted to dominate the discussion. Rather than present a program for all Americans, it was obvious to me that you were deliberately promoting the homosexual life style.

On the program, much effort was expended in demonstrating how many millions of taxpayer dollars have been lost from discharging out-of-the-closet homosexuals. No mention was made of the fact that the underlying cause of the loss of tax dollars was the deliberate lying by the homosexuals on their original applications to join the military. Without question, all of those people were liars. Do you condone lying?

Why don't you use your program to demonstrate what the homosexual life style, that you apparently approve, is all about. I can recall the shock I suffered when I got lost driving in San Francisco on a pleasant Sunday afternoon. Seeing the activities of those males holding hands, kissing, and fondling in public was an eye opener. Why not take some video footage and show it on your program?

Next, take your cameras into gay bars and give the American public an education. Television programs now show people what goes on in heterosexual discos, country western bars, and ballroom dance halls. I do not know what goes on in gay bars. I would appreciate your enlightening me.

I know what goes on in my heterosexual bedroom because I have been in it with two (one deceased) wives for over fifty years. I also know what happens in others because I have attended many R-rated movies such as "Basic Instinct." I can only imagine what goes on in the homosexual closet, based primarily on the discussions of the aids virus and its method of transmission. Why not a "Sally" program to enlighten the public. Do you dare to show it or even discuss it with a neutral panel of experts?

Sally, I recognize that homosexuals have existed in society for as long as there have been people. I also recognize that other aberrant groups also exist such as wife beaters, child abusers, pedophiles, robbers, burglars, murderers, and the Mafia. That they exist is not a reason to legitimize their activities. They are all in their respective closets and should remain there. The controversy today, as I see it, is that the homosexual culture craves approval from society, and for such approval, society must condone sodomy. It should be noted that the two societies that condoned sodomy in biblical times suffered instantaneous destruction, and history tells us what happened to the Greeks and the Romans. Homosexuals do not care about the military because, if they did, they would remain in the closet and not try to use the military as a vehicle to obtain society's approval for their sodomic life style.

In contrast with your biased program, on April 9th, Dan Rather on 48-Hours presented a program on the subject that was designed to inform the public of both sides of the issue, and it did.

If you want society to examine all sides of an issue in order to make informed judgments, then how about a try at fairness in your programming. However, if your policy is propaganda and not information, continue as you have been doing, and I shall continue to tune you out.

Very truly yours,

[REDACTED]